

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	PAGE xiii
------------------------	--------------

PART I

THE GENERAL NATURE OF RELIGION

CHAPTER I

RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY	3
-----------------------------------	---

1. The intellectual element in all Religion (creed). Anti-intellectual theories of Religion :—
 - (a) Religion as Ritual.
 - (b) Religion as Conduct.
 - (c) Religion as Feeling.
2. Identity of creed with Theology.
3. Identity of creed with Philosophy :—
 - (a) Negation of a special Philosophy of Religion.
 - (b) Identity of Religion and Philosophy.
 - (c) The supposed irreligious elements in Science.

CHAPTER II

RELIGION AND MORALITY	21
---------------------------------	----

1. The existence of a practical content in all Religion. Contradictory views :—
 - (a) An historical argument.
 - (b) An anthropological argument.
 - (c) Religious determinism.
 - (d) Antinomianism.
 - (e) Quietism.

2. The mutual dependence of thought and action :—
 - (a) Action always presupposes thought.
 - (b) Thought always presupposes will.
3. The identity of thought and action :—
 - (a) Religious expression of this identity in the term "love."
 - (b) Criticisms of the ordinary distinction.
 - (c) The identity does not destroy real differences between different kinds of life.

CHAPTER III

RELIGION AND HISTORY 37

1. One-sided historical views of Religion (historical positivism):—
 - (a) Psychology and Comparative Religion.
 - (b) History of Dogma or of the Church.
2. Anti-historical views :—
 - (a) Anti-historical scepticism.
 - (b) Dualism of History and Philosophy.
3. The mutual dependence of Philosophy and History :—
 - (a) History depends upon Philosophy.
 - (b) Philosophy depends upon History.
4. The identity of Philosophy and History.
5. Application to Religion : doctrine cannot be severed from its historical setting.

PART II

RELIGION AND METAPHYSICS

CHAPTER I

ON PROVING THE EXISTENCE OF GOD 59

1. Theology must prove the existence of God :—
 - (a) Attempt to evade this difficulty by the analogy of physics.
 - (b) Physics proves the existence not of matter in the abstract, but of this or that kind of matter ; this proof, in fact, is physics itself.
 - (c) In the same way theology has to prove not the existence of any and every God, but of some particular God.

CONTENTS

ix
PAGE

2. The traditional Theistic Proofs :—
 - (a) They are not the illicit product of thought in bondage to authority, but serious philosophical arguments.
 - (b) Their method is reasonable and inevitable.
3. Scheme of the remaining Chapters of Part II.

CHAPTER II

MATTER 72

1. The dualism of Matter and Mind :—
 - (a) Not satisfactory as a working hypothesis.
 - (b) Interaction between the two is impossible.
 - (c) They cannot be distinguished.
2. Materialism :—
 - (a) Materialism derives no support whatever from physics.
 - (b) The paradox of causation :—
 - i. Nothing is a cause or an effect except a total state of the universe.
 - ii. The explanation given by causal methods is either a tautology or an infinite regress.
 - iii. Nothing is ever explained at all unless it is first assumed that the universe, though material, can cause its own states, *i.e.* is not subject to the law of causation.
3. Materialism and Idealism (or Immaterialism) :—
 - (a) The scientist's objection to Idealism : uniformity.
 - (b) The plain man's objection : objectivity.
 - (c) Idealism and the higher Materialism.

CHAPTER III

PERSONALITY 96

1. The identity of persons with each other :—
 - (a) In communication.
 - (b) In co-operation.
2. Identity and difference :—
 - (a) This identity rests on, does not destroy, the freedom of the various wills concerned.
 - (b) Nor does it destroy the infinite differences of truths and aims.
3. Abstract and concrete identity :—
 - (a) The necessary identity of parts in a whole, distinct as abstract identity from the concrete (contingent) identity above described.

- (b) Importance of this distinction in philosophy or religion.
 An "Absolute," or a God, must be concrete.
- (c) A perfectly good and wise God is conceivable, but it seems necessary to conceive him as finite, i.e. *qua* God non-existent. This is the Problem of Evil.

CHAPTER IV

1. Statement of the problem :—
 - (a) The problem not peculiar to religion ; it is a philosophical problem, and therefore not insoluble.
 - (b) Subordinate questions :—
 - i. Pain.
 - ii. How does evil arise ?
 - iii. What is evil ?—Failure to answer this question ; how far fatal to the inquiry.
2. Some inadequate views of evil :—
 - (a) As non-existent.
 - (b) As a finite point of view :—
 - i. Morality relative to the social system.
 - ii. "Goodness" not the only value.
 - iii. Sceptical theory of knowledge.
 - (c) As means to good.
 - (d) As merely negative.
 - (e) As a superseded phase of evolution.
3. The main problem :—
 - (a) The elimination of error by truth.
 - (b) The elimination of evil by good.

PART III

FROM METAPHYSICS TO THEOLOGY

CHAPTER I

1. The Person of the Christ in relation to God :—
 - (a) The two senses of identity : (i.) the abstract identity of every man with God ; (ii.) the concrete identity of thought and will in the Christ.

CONTENTS

xi
PAGE

- (b) Objection, that the infinite cannot be completely manifested in the finite. Criticism.
 - (c) Objection, that such a person would be like God only, not divine. Criticism.
 - (d) Objection, that to appear as human, God must undergo "self-limitation." Criticism. Omnipotence and omniscience ; their nature.
2. The Christ in relation to Man :—
- (a) The complete reality of his manhood, *i.e.* his personal human individuality.
 - (b) His complete unification with his disciples.
 - (c) His union with fellow-workers not nominally disciples.
3. The Christ as unique, universal and all-inclusive :—
- (a) Objection from pantheism, that there are infinite sides to God's nature. Criticism.
 - (b) Objection from logic, that every particular equally displays the universal. Criticism.
 - (c) The historical uniqueness of Christ.
 - (d) As the absolute experience he summarises all reality and all history. The problem of immortality.

CHAPTER II

GOD'S REDEMPTION OF MAN 169

1. The contradictory duties of Punishment and Forgiveness :—
- (a) Forgiveness cannot be dismissed as an illusion.
 - (b) Neither can punishment :—
 - i. Breakdown of revenge theory.
 - ii. Breakdown of deterrent theory.
 - (c) The contradiction is absolute.
2. The solution of the contradiction :—
- (a) Further analysis of punishment.
 - (b) Identity of punishment and forgiveness.
 - (c) Empirical distinction between them.
3. The conception of redemption :—
- (a) Objectively as grace of God.
 - (b) Subjectively as effort of man.
 - (c) Identity of the two sides.
4. The principle of vicarious penitence :—
- (a) Its reality in the mind of God.
 - (b) Its mediation through man.

CHAPTER III

	PAGE
MIRACLE	194
1. The common definition, God's interference with Nature. General objections to such a dualism, philosophical and theological :—	
(a) The common definition denies God by implication.	
(b) It also denies Nature.	
2. Two possible methods of escape from the dualism :—	
(a) Nature the sole reality. Miracle now defined as emer- gence of a higher law. This conception inconsistent with the idea of Nature and law.	
(b) God the sole reality. Miracle now defined as one special type of divine activity :—	
i. <i>e.g.</i> abnormal as opposed to normal. Criticism of the ideas of normality and of a normative code of conduct. Rules of conduct either empty or not true ; valueless in proportion to agent's perfection.	
ii. Immediate as opposed to mediate. This is neither a tenable distinction nor a relevant one.	
3. The conception of miracle as a separate category abandoned :—	
(a) This agrees with the religious view of life and is necessi- tated by the fact of human freedom.	
(b) It does not conflict with the uniformity of Nature as rightly understood : for	
i. Mind shows the same uniformity as nature, and uniformity does not prove determinism.	
ii. Uniformity is relative to the point of view ; where superficial knowledge sees identity and mono- tony only, deeper knowledge sees differentiation and freedom.	