

DEFINING
DEATH

The Case for Choice

ROBERT M. VEATCH
and
LAINIE F. ROSS

Georgetown University Press / Washington, DC

CONTENTS

1	Defining Death: An Introduction	1
	The Emergence of the Controversy	2
	Three Groups of Definitions	3
	The Emergence of a Uniform Brain-Oriented Definition	4
	Irreversible versus Permanent Loss of Function	5
	Defining Death and Transplanting Organs	6
	The Structure of the Book	7
2	The Dead Donor Rule and the Concept of Death	13
	The Dead Donor Rule	16
	Candidates for a Concept of Death	19
	The Public Policy Question	26
3	The Whole-Brain Concept of Death	39
	The Case for the Whole-Brain Concept	42
	Criteria for the Destruction of All Brain Functions	45
	Problems with the Whole-Brain Definition	53
	Alternatives to the Whole-Brain Definition	59
4	The Circulatory, or Somatic, Concept of Death	66
	Two Measurements of Death	67
	Circulatory Death and Organ Procurement	72
	The DCD Protocols	79
	Shewmon's Somatic Concept	83

The Two Definitions of the US President's Council on Bioethics	85
5 The Higher-Brain Concept of Death	88
Which Brain Functions Are Critical?	90
Altered States of Consciousness: A Continuum	96
Measuring the Loss of Higher-Brain Function	99
Ancillary Tests	100
The Legal Status of Death	104
6 The Conscience Clause: How Much Individual Choice Can Our Society Tolerate in Defining Death?	111
The Present State of the Law	113
Concepts, Criteria, and the Role of Value Pluralism	115
Explicit Patient Choice, Substituted Judgment, and Best Interest	122
Limits on the Range of Discretion	124
The Problem of Order: Objections to a Conscience Clause	126
Implementation of a Conscience Clause	137
Conclusion	139
7 Crafting a New Definition-of-Death Law	147
Incorporating the Higher-Brain-Function Notion	147
The Conscience Clause	149
Clarification of the Concept of Irreversibility	150
A Proposed New Definition of Death for Public Policy Purposes	152
Conclusion	153
Index	157