

# THE PLATFORM ECONOMY

## Unravelling the Legal Status of Online Intermediaries

Bram DEVOLDER (ed.)



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i> .....	v
----------------------	---

PART I. KEY LEGAL ISSUES: REGULATORY DISRUPTION AND REGULATORY OPPORTUNITIES .....	1
--	---

## Chapter 1.

### **Digital Platforms: To Regulate or Not to Regulate? Message to Regulators: Get the Economics Right First, then Focus on the Right Regulation**

Alain STROWEL and Wouter VERGOTE .....	3
1. Introduction .....	3
2. Definition of digital platforms .....	7
2.1. Distinction between community exchanges and digital platforms ...	7
2.2. Typology of platforms .....	9
2.3. Discussion of legal definitions .....	12
3. Some preliminary thoughts on the economics of online platforms .....	15
3.1. The economic nature of (online) platform markets .....	15
3.1.1. Platforms as two- or multi-sided markets .....	15
3.1.2. Platforms and network effects .....	16
3.2. Market power in platform markets .....	16
3.2.1. Market power and bi- or multi-sided markets .....	16
3.2.2. Swiftness of market expansion .....	17
3.2.3. Enhanced competition through platform partnerships .....	18
3.2.4. Big data, price discrimination and privacy .....	19
4. Legal challenges, possible responses and areas for focusing the regulatory efforts .....	20
4.1. Preliminary issues .....	21
4.1.1. The landscape of the regulatory authorities: need for redesign? .....	21
4.1.2. The role of the Court of Justice of the EU regarding the regulation of platforms .....	22
4.1.3. <i>Ex ante v. ex post</i> regulation: what is more effective and should be preferred? .....	23
4.2. Identifying the areas and the level of competence for possible regulatory intervention .....	24

4.2.1. Market access and other constraints for operating services in the sharing economy: a role for local and national authorities . . . . .	24
4.2.2. Protective laws: their preservation and adaptation are mainly in the hands of national authorities, but EU law could rule at the margin . . . . .	25
4.2.3. Competition law: an extended toolbox exists, but could be better used by competition authorities to ensure a timely enforcement of competition rules. . . . .	25
4.2.4. Tax law: adaptations are needed to tackle the digital economy issues exemplified by the digital platforms. . . . .	26
4.2.5. Laws on data (ex post and ex ante regulation): a thorough definition of the conditions for accessing and protecting data is needed. . . . .	27
a) Taking into account the role of data (collection and sharing) in the <i>ex post</i> analysis . . . . .	27
b) The <i>ex ante</i> regulation of data . . . . .	28
c) Conclusions. . . . .	29

**Chapter 2.**

**Contractual Liability of the Platform**

Bram DEVOLDER . . . . .	31
1. Introduction . . . . .	31
2. Contractual relations between three protagonists: dissonance between terms and reality? . . . . .	32
3. Limits to freedom of contract: where terms meet reality . . . . .	35
3.1. The employer paradigm: significant control by the platform . . . . .	35
3.1.1. Reclassification of the contractual relationship between platform and provider: from intermediation to employment . . . . .	35
3.1.2. Contractual employer liability for employee performance of underlying services. . . . .	45
3.2. The information paradigm: legitimate consumer expectations as to the legal capacity of the platform . . . . .	47
3.2.1. Wathélet: (offline) intermediary that fails to meet its information duty qualifies as ‘seller’. . . . .	48
3.2.2. Intermediaries and the consumer acquis: towards a European doctrine on trust? . . . . .	51
3.2.3. Legitimate consumer trust in online platforms . . . . .	54
3.3. The economic reality paradigm: active involvement by the platform in provision of underlying services. . . . .	61
3.3.1. European Commission: platforms only rarely meet the demanding requirements to qualify as provider . . . . .	62

3.3.2. Court of justice: Uber provides an overall transport service . . .	64
3.4. Limits to freedom of contract <i>de lege ferenda</i> . . . . .	69
4. Safe harbour provisions: limits to limits of freedom of contract . . . . .	71
4.1. Challenging liability exemptions for online intermediaries in the EU and the US . . . . .	72
4.1.1. Electronic Commerce Directive . . . . .	72
4.1.2. Communications Decency Act . . . . .	74
4.2. EU Electronic Commerce Directive does not cover Uber . . . . .	76
4.3. US Communication Decency Act may (not) cover Airbnb . . . . .	79
5. Conclusion . . . . .	83
Annex 1 . . . . .	84
Annex 2 . . . . .	86

### Chapter 3.

#### Law Evasion in the Platform Economy: The Uber Case

Nicolas VAN DAMME . . . . .	89
1. Introduction . . . . .	89
2. Law evasion in general: definition. . . . .	91
2.1. Internal and external approaches towards law evasion . . . . .	91
2.1.1. Internal approach towards law evasion . . . . .	91
2.1.2. External approach towards law evasion . . . . .	93
2.1.2.1. Subjective external approach . . . . .	94
2.1.2.2. Objective external approach . . . . .	95
2.2. Refutation of the external approach . . . . .	96
2.2.1. Lack of autonomy of the objective external approach . . . . .	96
2.2.2. Uselessness and impossibility of determining the evasive intent . . . . .	99
2.2.2.1. Quantitative approach . . . . .	99
2.2.2.2. Qualitative approach . . . . .	102
2.3. Conclusion . . . . .	105
3. Law evasion in the platform economy (the Uber case) . . . . .	105
3.1. Evasion of primary Uber law . . . . .	105
3.1.1. Transport services . . . . .	105
3.1.1.1. (Ir)regular transport . . . . .	105
3.1.1.2. Taxi services . . . . .	107
3.1.1.3. Rental of cars with drivers . . . . .	109
3.1.2. Law evasion? . . . . .	110
3.1.2.1. UberPop: no licence needed? . . . . .	110
3.1.2.2. UberX: does the 'Limousine licence' suffice to avoid the legal constraints imposed on taxis? . . . . .	112
3.1.3. Contrariety of the evaded law with European Union law? . . . . .	115
3.1.3.1. Freedom to provide services (Art. 56 TFEU) . . . . .	115

3.1.3.2. Freedom of establishment (Art. 49 TFEU) . . . . .	117
3.2. Evasion of secondary Uber law . . . . .	123
4. Conclusion . . . . .	124

**Chapter 4.**

**Regulating the Sharing Economy in China: A National Report**

Liyang HOU . . . . .	125
1. Introduction . . . . .	125
2. An overview . . . . .	126
2.1. Definition . . . . .	126
2.2. Economic impact . . . . .	127
3. Regulatory landscape . . . . .	129
3.1. Regulatory principles . . . . .	129
3.2. Specific regulations . . . . .	130
4. Regulation on ride sharing . . . . .	135
4.1. Regulation adopted by the central government . . . . .	135
4.2. Local regulation adopted in Shanghai . . . . .	137
5. Conclusions and beyond . . . . .	138

PART II. PLATFORMS AND EU LAW: WHERE ARE WE NOW AND WHAT LIES AHEAD? . . . . .	141
---	-----

**Chapter 1.**

**Competition Law in the Peer-to-Peer Economy**

Friso BOSTOEN . . . . .	143
1. Introduction to the platform economy . . . . .	143
2. Overview: antitrust law in the platform economy . . . . .	147
2.1. Restrictive agreements in the platform economy . . . . .	147
2.2. Abuse of dominance in the platform economy . . . . .	149
3. Narrowing down: competition law in the P2P economy . . . . .	151
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	151
3.2. The scope of antitrust law: do P2P economy participants qualify as ‘undertaking’? . . . . .	152
3.3. Restrictive practices: can platform pricing mean cartel pricing? . . . . .	156
3.4. Abuse of dominant position: exploiting peers and excluding competitors? . . . . .	162
3.4.1. Exploiting peers . . . . .	162
3.4.2. Excluding competing platforms . . . . .	163
3.5. Under the surface: entanglement with other platforms . . . . .	164
3.5.3. The global ride-hailing wars . . . . .	164
3.5.4. Anti-competitive effects of ride-hailing mergers and horizontal shareholding . . . . .	166

3.5.4.1. Mergers .....	166
3.5.4.2. Horizontal shareholding .....	167
3.5.4.3. Conclusion.....	169
3.6. The limits of antitrust in the P2P economy: ‘unfair competition’ does not necessarily infringe competition law .....	170
4. Conclusion .....	172

## Chapter 2.

### Draft Model Rules on Online Intermediary Platforms

Marie JULL SØRENSEN .....	173
1. Introduction .....	173
2. Regulatory frame .....	176
2.1. Contract law or regulation of platforms? .....	176
2.2. Regulatory techniques (detailed law vs. general clauses, legal certainty vs. flexibility, statutory law vs. self-regulation) .....	178
3. Scope .....	180
3.1. The platforms .....	180
3.2. The subject(s) of protection.....	181
4. Means.....	182
4.1. Information duties .....	182
4.2. Liability .....	183
4.3. Technical duties .....	184
5. Sanctions.....	185
6. Concluding remarks .....	186

PART III. PLATFORMS AND SOCIAL LAW: RETHINKING LABOUR PROTECTION AND SOCIAL SECURITY TO ADDRESS NON-STANDARD WORK? .....	187
--	-----

## Chapter 1.

### The Court of Justice of the EU, Uber and Labour Protection: A Labour Lawyers’ Approach

Valerio DE STEFANO and Mathias WOUTERS .....	189
1. Introduction .....	189
2. Uber Systems Spain.....	191
3. Uber and the indicia of an employment contract.....	193
3.1. The CJEU’s influence on the Belgian classification method .....	193
3.1.1. A ‘general classification method’ and a ‘sector-specific classification method’ .....	193
3.1.2. Uber in front of the Belgian courts.....	194
3.1.3. The substance of this ‘specific classification method’ and its importance.....	195

3.2. Rebuttable presumptions and intermediate categories . . . . .	197
4. Blurring the lines between agencies and employers. . . . .	202
4.1. The concept of decisive influence v. the concept of control and dependency . . . . .	202
4.2. The right to control and degree of dependency. . . . .	204
4.3. The CJEU's view on decisive influence . . . . .	208
5. Moving past the employee / self-employed divide . . . . .	211
5.1. Basic principles . . . . .	211
5.1.1. Fundamental principles and labour rights . . . . .	212
5.1.2. Freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining. . . . .	215
5.2. Labour market intermediaries . . . . .	220
5.2.1. 'Intermediation services' . . . . .	220
5.2.2. Private Employment Agencies Convention. . . . .	221
6. Conclusion . . . . .	225

**Chapter 2.**

**Social Protection of Non-Standard Workers: The Case of Platform Work**

Paul SCHOUKENS, Alberto BARRIO and Saskia MONTEBOVI. . . . . 227

1. Introduction . . . . .	227
2. Atypical work and social protection. . . . .	228
2.1. Definition of standard or typical work. . . . .	228
2.2. Atypical forms of work . . . . .	231
2.2.1. Internal developments . . . . .	232
2.2.2. External developments . . . . .	232
2.3. Consequences for social security law . . . . .	233
3. Platform work as atypical work form and social security. . . . .	235
4. Incorporating platform work in national social security law (some examples of national systems) . . . . .	238
4.1. Germany . . . . .	238
4.2. France. . . . .	240
4.3. The United Kingdom . . . . .	244
4.4. The Netherlands . . . . .	246
4.5. Belgium . . . . .	249
5. Platform work as exponent of marginal work, or the use of minimum thresholds and its consequences for social security law. . . . .	250
5.1. Type 1: Thresholds limiting access to social security for administrative (control) reasons . . . . .	250
5.2. Type 2: Thresholds limiting the scope of social security schemes for the support of (flexible) work forms . . . . .	251
5.3. Type 3: Thresholds for limiting the obligation to contribute to a social security scheme . . . . .	252

5.4. Type 4: Thresholds establishing the minimum income of self-employed .....	252
5.5. Minimum thresholds in a platform economy .....	253
6. Conclusions: How platform work may challenge social security systems.....	254

### Chapter 3.

#### Social Security and the Platform Economy in Belgium: Dilemma and Paradox

Yves STEVENS .....	259
1. Introduction .....	259
2. Platform workers in Belgium.....	261
2.1. The overall system: self-employed unless proven otherwise.....	261
2.2. Platform workers: an exception in social protection through fiscal measures .....	266
3. Platform economy as an element of flexibility .....	268
3.1. Platform work is an endurance test for social protection .....	268
3.2. Flexibility versus certainty .....	269
3.3. Time flexibility and the freedom to work or not to work.....	270
4. Shifts in Belgian social security.....	272
4.1. Social security shifts due to increasing forms of more unstable employment.....	272
4.1.1. Worn-out debate: employed or self-employed?.....	273
4.1.2. Changes within the social security scheme for employees ...	275
4.2. Shifts in social security benefits and the activation of benefits in the era of platform economy.....	279
5. New economy: new social security? .....	281
6. The eclectic man.....	285
7. Conclusion .....	286

### Chapter 4.

#### And What About the Gig Worker? Transport law as a lifeline to gig workers falling outside labour law protection

Wouter VERHEYEN and Fiona UNZ.....	287
1. Introduction .....	287
2. Liability of the gig worker.....	289
2.1. Contractual liability of gig workers.....	290
2.1.1. Contractual liability in case of crowd logistics contracts ...	290
2.1.1.1. The potential protection of gig workers under transport law: What does it offer?.....	291
a) Limited liability .....	292
b) Wide list of exonerations .....	293

c)	Erga omnes protection and two-sided mandatory law . . . . .	294
2.1.1.2.	The actual protection of gig workers under transport law: Does it apply? . . . . .	295
2.1.1.3.	A critical view: The rationale justifying the application of transport law to gig workers. . . . .	296
2.1.2.	Contractual liability in case of crowd mobility contracts. . . . .	297
2.1.2.1.	The potential protection of gig workers under passenger rights law: What does it offer? . . . . .	299
a)	Establishing liability: The rules promoting predictability. . . . .	300
i)	Air . . . . .	300
ii)	Sea . . . . .	302
iii)	Road . . . . .	303
b)	Limiting the carrier's liability . . . . .	304
i)	Air . . . . .	304
ii)	Sea . . . . .	305
iii)	Road . . . . .	305
2.1.2.2.	The actual protection of gig workers under passenger rights law: Does it apply? . . . . .	308
a)	Air . . . . .	309
b)	Sea . . . . .	309
c)	Road . . . . .	311
2.1.2.3.	A critical view: The rationale justifying the application of passenger rights law to gig workers. . . . .	312
2.1.2.4.	Conclusion . . . . .	314
2.2.	Extra-contractual liability. . . . .	315
2.2.1.	The potential protection of gig workers under transport law: What does it offer? . . . . .	315
2.2.2.	The actual protection of gig workers under transport law and a critical view . . . . .	317
3.	Gig workers' working times . . . . .	318
3.1.	The potential protection of gig workers under transport law driving and rest period legislation: What does it offer? . . . . .	319
3.2.	The actual protection of gig workers under transport law driving and rest period legislation: Does it apply? . . . . .	320
3.3.	A critical view: The rationale justifying the application of driving and rest period legislation to gig workers. . . . .	321
4.	Conclusion . . . . .	321

PART IV. PLATFORMS AND REVENUE LAW: PUSHING THE  
BOUNDARIES OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT TAXATION? ..... 325

**Chapter 1.**

**International Corporate Taxation of Digital Platforms**

Dina SCORNOS and Niels BAMMENS .....	327
1. Introduction .....	327
2. The deficiencies of the current international tax framework .....	329
2.1. Background .....	329
2.2. Overview of the main issues .....	331
2.2.1. Physical presence as a requirement for source state taxation ..	331
2.2.2. The treatment of services under tax treaties .....	332
2.2.3. Conclusion .....	334
3. Pending proposals and potential solutions .....	335
3.1. The EU proposals .....	335
3.1.1. The short-term solution: the Digital Services Tax (DST) ....	336
3.1.1.1. Introductory remarks .....	336
3.1.1.2. Personal scope: Taxable persons .....	337
3.1.1.3. Material scope: Taxable revenues .....	340
3.1.1.4. Place of taxation .....	341
3.1.1.5. Chargeability and rate .....	344
3.1.1.6. Compliance obligations .....	344
3.1.1.7. Interim conclusion .....	348
3.1.2. The long-term solution: the concept of ‘significant digital presence’ .....	349
3.1.2.1. Introductory remarks .....	349
3.1.2.2. Personal scope: Taxable persons .....	349
3.1.2.3. Material scope: Taxable revenues .....	354
3.1.2.4. Compliance obligations .....	356
3.1.2.5. Interim conclusion .....	356
3.2. Action 1 of the OECD/G20 BEPS project .....	357
3.2.1. Background and 2015 report .....	357
3.2.2. 2018 interim report .....	358
3.2.3. Interim conclusion .....	360
4. Conclusion .....	360

**Chapter 2.**

**Collecting Value Added Tax in the Platform Economy: Overview of the  
Fundamental Issues and Recent EU 2018 Developments**

Edoardo TRAVERSA and Marie LAMENSCH .....	363
1. Introduction .....	363
2. Selected basic VAT conceptual issues .....	364

2.1. VAT liability of platform activities and underlying supplies . . . . .	364
2.2. Extent and location of VAT liability of platforms . . . . .	366
2.2.1. Extent of VAT liability . . . . .	366
2.2.2. Location of VAT liability . . . . .	368
3. Recent EU legislative developments involving platforms . . . . .	369
3.1. Digital platforms liable to collect VAT on underlying supplies of electronic services since 2015. . . . .	369
3.2. Digital platforms might become deemed suppliers in intra-EU distance sales (as of 2021) . . . . .	372
3.3. Digital platforms may become deemed suppliers in B2C imports. . .	374
3.4. Record keeping . . . . .	376
4. Conclusion and final remark . . . . .	376

**Chapter 3.**

**Uber’s Approach to Value Added Tax: Testing the Limits of the European VAT Legislation**

Kenneth VYNCKE . . . . .	379
1. Factual background. . . . .	379
2. VAT framework . . . . .	380
3. Uber’s approach to VAT . . . . .	381
4. Potential threats . . . . .	383
4.1. VAT status of drivers: self-employed drivers? . . . . .	383
4.2. VAT status of uber: disclosed agent? . . . . .	390
4.3. Contractual terms: correspond with practical reality? . . . . .	394
5. Conclusion . . . . .	398