

International Taxation of Income from Services under Double Taxation Conventions

Development, Practice, and Policy

Marta Castelon

 Wolters Kluwer

Table of Contents

Foreword	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxv
List of Abbreviations	xxix
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
I Preliminary Considerations	1
1 Jurisdiction to Tax Income from the Provision of Services	1
2 Avoidance of Double or Multiple Taxation on Income from the International Provision of Services	3
2.1 Double Taxation Conventions	3
2.2 The Multilateral Instrument (MLI) Resulting from BEPS Action 15	5
2.2.1 Background: The OECD and G20 BEPS Project	5
2.2.2 Content, Functioning and Implementation of the MLI	6
2.2.3 The Status of the Explanatory Statement to the MLI	9
2.3 The Focus of the Present Research	9
II Services and the International Provision of Services	10
1 Definition of Services	10
2 Modes of Provision of International Services	12
2.1 The Modes of Provision of International Services According to GATS	12
2.2 The Modes of Provision of International Services for the Purposes of DTCs	16
2.3 Importance of Thinking in Terms of Forms of Market Access	18

Table of Contents

	2.3.1	In the Context of the GATS	18
	2.3.2	In the Context of DTCs	19
	2.3.3	Summary and Conclusion	20
III		Research Questions	21
	1	Why Do So Many DTC Articles Deal with Income from the Provision of Services?	21
	2	Should the Distinction Between Business Profits, Income from Independent Personal Services, Employment Income and Fees for Technical Services Be Retained?	23
	3	What Are the Origins of the Current DTC Provisions on Income from Entrepreneurial and Professional Services?	23
	4	Do Legal or Economic Principles Provide an Answer as to the Division of the Right to Tax?	24
	5	What Are the Challenges Presented by the Allocation of Taxing Rights over Income from Entrepreneurial, Professional, and Technical Services under the Model Conventions (MCs)?	25
IV		Terminology	26
	1	Other State, Source State, Non-residence State, Host State	26
	2	Developed Versus Developing Countries	27
V		Structure of the Book	27
CHAPTER 2			
History of the Taxation of Income from Independent Services under Double Tax Conventions			
			29
I		1869 DTC Between Prussia and Saxony	30
	1	Introductory Remarks	30
	2	Overview of the Taxation of Income from the Provision of Enterprise Services under the DTC	32
	3	The Fixed Trade (“Stehendes Gewerbe”) Concept from an Interpretative and a Tax Policy Point of View	34
	3.1	Interpreting the Expression “Fixed Trade”	35
	3.1.1	Meaning under Prussian Law	35
	3.1.1.1	Was There a Force of Attraction for Fixed Trade?	37
	3.1.2	Meaning under Saxon Law	38
	3.1.3	Meaning under the 1869 Trade, Commerce and Industry Regulation Act of the North-German Confederation	38
	3.1.4	Liberal Professionals: Neither Fixed nor Itinerant Traders	42
	3.1.4.1	General Remarks	42
	3.1.4.2	Historical Roots and Main Characteristics	44

	3.1.4.3	Why Were Liberal Professions Neither Fixed Trade nor Itinerant Trade?	46
	3.1.5	Comparing the Fixed Trade with the PE and the Fixed Base Thresholds	47
	3.1.5.1	Thresholds in Comparison	47
	3.1.5.2	Similarities Between Traders and Professionals	49
	3.2	Why Was the Taxation of Income and Yields/Returns from the Provision of Services by Non-resident Foreigners Subject to the Fixed Trade Threshold?	51
	3.2.1	Analysis of the Question from a Legal Perspective	52
	3.2.1.1	Analysis of the Question from the Prussian Point of View	52
	3.2.1.2	Analysis of the Question from the Saxon Point of View	61
	3.2.1.3	Conclusion	64
	3.2.2	Analysis of the Question from an Economic Perspective	66
II		1870 Law of the North-German Confederation on the Avoidance of Double Taxation (“Gesetz des Norddeutschen Bundes wegen Beseitigung der Doppelbesteuerung vom 13. Mai 1870”)	68
	1	Introductory Remarks	68
	2	Comparison with the 1869 Prussia-Saxony DTC with Regard to the Taxation of Income from Enterprise Services	68
	3	The Concept of Carrying on a Trade from an Interpretative and a Tax Policy Point of View	72
	3.1	Interpreting the Expression “Carrying on a Trade”	72
	3.2	How Can the Move from the Fixed Trade (“stehendes Gewerbe”) Threshold to the Threshold of “Carrying on a Trade” (“Betrieb eines Gewerbes”) Be Explained?	75
	3.2.1	Analysis of the Question from a Legal Perspective	75
	3.2.2	Analysis of the Question from an Economic Perspective	75
	3.2.3	Conclusion	76
III		1899 DTC Between Prussia and Austria-Hungary	77
	1	Introductory Remarks	77
	2	Comparison with the 1870 DTL	77
	3	The PE (“Betriebsstätte”) Threshold from an Interpretative and a Tax Policy Point of View	79

Table of Contents

3.1	Meaning of the Expression PE	79
3.2	Reasons for the Introduction of the PE Threshold	81
3.2.1	Analysis of the Question from a Legal Perspective	82
3.2.1.1	Prussian Trade Tax	82
3.2.1.2	Prussian Income Tax	83
3.2.1.3	Austrian Trade Tax	84
3.2.1.4	Austrian Income Tax	85
3.2.1.5	Conclusion	86
3.2.2	Analysis of the Question from an Economic Perspective	86
IV	DTCs Between Other German States and Austria-Hungary at the Turn of the Twentieth Century	87
V	1909 German DTL (“Deutsches Doppelsteuergesetz”)	90
1	Introductory Remarks	90
2	Comparison with the 1870 DTL with Regard to the Taxation of Income from Enterprise Services	90
3	Conclusion	95
VI	After the First World War: The Worldwide Treaty Practice, Drafts and Discussions Preceding the Release of the 1963 OECD Draft	97
1	The First Joint Attempts to Develop a Model DTC	97
1.1	The 1923 Report on Double Taxation by the Four Economists	97
1.1.1	Context	97
1.1.2	The Report	97
1.1.3	Critical Voices and Reasons for the Report’s Minor Impact on Practice	99
1.2	The Technical Experts’ 1925 Report	101
1.3	The 1927 Report of the Extended Committee	102
1.4	Further League of Nation’s Reports	106
2	The German Experience	107
2.1	National Laws on the Avoidance of Double Taxation Between the German States	107
2.1.1	Subjective Scope	108
2.1.2	The PE Threshold	108
2.1.2.1	Exclusion of Repositories	108
2.1.2.2	Introduction of the Construction PE, the Railway PE, and the Shipping PE	109
2.1.2.3	Exclusion of Pipelines in the Absence of Delivery of Gas, Water or Electricity	110

	2.1.2.4	Comparative Table on the Evolution of the PE Concept under the German Legislation from 1899 to 1927	113
	2.1.3	Itinerant Trade Activities and Peripatetic Storage Trade	118
	2.1.4	Different Treatment of Trade Activities vis-à-vis Liberal Professions	118
	2.1.5	Different Treatment of Trade Activities vis-à-vis Employment	119
2.2		National Provisions on Avoidance of International Double Taxation	120
2.3		German DTCs	121
	2.3.1	Personal and Objective Scope	121
	2.3.2	General Provisions on Taxation of Income from Enterprise Services	121
	2.3.2.1	The PE	121
	2.3.2.2	Itinerant and Peripatetic Trade	123
	2.3.3	Liberal Professions	123
	2.3.4	Employment Income	124
	2.3.5	Income from Shipping and Air Transport	125
	2.3.6	Directors' Fees	126
3		The U.S. Experience	127
	3.1	U.S. Unilateral Measures to Avoid International Double Taxation	127
	3.2	U.S. DTCs	128
	3.2.1	The 1932 DTC Between the United States of America and France	130
4		The Experience of Other European States and Their DTC Network	131
	4.1	National Laws	131
	4.2	DTCs	134
	4.2.1	Personal and Objective Scope	134
	4.2.2	General Provisions on Taxation of Income from Enterprise Services	134
	4.2.2.1	PE	134
	4.2.2.2	Itinerant and Peripatetic Trade	134
	4.2.3	Liberal Professions	135
	4.2.4	Employment Income	135
	4.2.5	Income from Shipping and Air Transport	136
	4.2.6	Director's Fees	136
5		Mexico Draft DTC of 1943 and London Draft DTC of 1946	137
	5.1	General Remarks	137
	5.2	Personal and Objective Scope	138

Table of Contents

	5.3	General Provision Applicable to Income from Enterprise Services	138
	5.3.1	PE	138
	5.3.2	Itinerant Service Providers	138
	5.4	Personal Services, Professional Services and Employment Income	139
	5.5	Public Servants	141
	5.6	Income from Shipping and Air Transport	141
	5.7	Director's Fees	141
	5.8	Methods of Avoidance of Double Taxation	142
VII		1963 OECD Draft MC	142
	1	General Remarks	142
	2	Comparison Between the OECD Draft DTC of 1963 and the London Draft DTC of 1946 in Respect of Taxation of Income from Services Provisions	143
	2.1	General Provision Applicable to Income from Enterprise Services	143
	2.1.1	PE	144
	2.1.2	Itinerant Service Providers	144
	2.2	Professional Services	147
	2.3	Employment Income	148
	2.4	Public Servants	149
	2.5	Shipping and Air Transport	149
	2.6	Directors' Fees	150
	2.7	Artists and Athletes	151
VIII		1980 UN MC	152
	1	Introductory Remarks	152
	2	The Main Differences Between the UN MC of 1980 and the OECD MC of 1977	153
	2.1	Extension of the Concept of PE	153
	2.2	Introduction of the PE's Limited Force of Attraction	154
	2.3	Introduction of the Host State's Right to Tax Profits from International Shipping Activities That Were Carried Out More than Casually in Its Territory	154
	2.4	Widening of the Host State's Right to Tax Income from Independent Personal Services	154
	3	Conclusions	155
IX		Conclusions from the Historical Analysis	155
	1	Why Are There So Many DTC Articles Dealing with Income from the Provision of Services?	156
	1.1	The Main Categories	156
	1.1.1	Entrepreneurial Services and the PE Threshold	156
	1.1.2	Income from Employment	157
	1.1.2.1	Income from Private Employment	158

	1.1.2.2	Income from Government Service	159
	1.1.3	Liberal Professions	160
1.2		Itinerants and the Highly Mobile	162
	1.2.1	Entertainers and Sportspersons	162
	1.2.2	Shipping, Inland Waterways Transport and Air Transport	163
1.3		Similarity to Other Categories of Income	164
	1.3.1	Directors' Fees	164
2		Should the Distinction Between Business Profits, Income from Independent Personal Services, Employment Income and Fees for Technical Services Be Retained?	165
3		What Are the Origins of the Current DTC Provisions on the Allocation of Taxing Rights over Income from Entrepreneurial and Professional Services?	165
 CHAPTER 3			
Taxation of Income from Entrepreneurial, Professional, and Technical Services under the OECD MC, UN MC, U.S. MC and German MC			167
I	Business Profits		167
	1	Initial Remarks	167
	2	Basic Rule PE	169
	2.1	Initial Remarks	169
	2.2	Fixed Place of Business	170
	2.2.1	Place of Business	170
	2.2.2	Right to Use Test	171
	2.2.3	Instrumentality of the Place of Business	174
	2.2.4	Geographical Meaning of "Fixed"	175
	2.2.5	Temporal Meaning of "Fixed"	177
	2.2.6	Productive Character of the Fixed Place of Business	179
	3	Positive Catalogue	180
	3.1	Examples of PEs or Rebuttable Presumptions of PEs?	180
	3.2	Does the List Have an Exhaustive Character?	181
	3.3	Applicability of the List to the Service Branch	182
	4	Construction PE	184
	4.1	Initial Remarks	185
	4.2	Relationship Between Art. 5 (3) and Art. 5 (1)	187
	4.3	Objective Scope of Art. 5 (3) in the Four Model DTCs Analyzed	188
	4.4	Computation of a Project's Duration	190
	4.5	Subjective Scope of Art. 5 (3) and the Question of Subcontracting the Provision of Services	191
	5	Construction PE after the Anti-BEPS Proposals	193
	5.1	Principal Purpose Test	193

Table of Contents

	5.2	Provision Against the Splitting Up of Contracts	196
	5.3	Definition of a Person Closely Related to an Enterprise	198
	5.4	General Remarks	200
6		Negative List	201
	6.1	Initial Remarks	202
	6.2	Justification for Deeming a PE Not to Include Preparatory or Auxiliary Activities	203
	6.3	Objective Scope	205
	6.3.1	The Four Examples	205
	6.3.2	General Clause	206
	6.3.3	Combination of Preparatory and Auxiliary Activities	206
7		Negative List and the Anti-fragmentation Rules after the Anti-BEPS Proposals	207
	7.1	Option A: Applicability of the “Preparatory or Auxiliary Requirement” to the Whole List	208
	7.2	Option B: Deeming Some Activities to Have a Preparatory or Auxiliary Character <i>Per Se</i>	211
	7.3	The Anti-fragmentation Rule	212
	7.4	Possibilities of Making Reservations under the MLI	214
	7.5	General Remarks	214
8		Agency PE	215
	8.1	Initial Remarks	216
	8.2	Evolution over the Years	217
	8.3	Subjective Scope: Who Can Be Regarded as a Dependent Agent?	219
	8.3.1	Independent Agent Exclusion	219
	8.3.1.1	Ordinary Course of Business	220
	8.3.1.2	Legal and Economic Independence	222
	8.3.1.3	Dealing at Arm’s Length	223
	8.3.2	Dependent Agent: Legal or Economic Dependency	223
	8.4	Objective Scope	224
	8.4.1	Habitual Exercise of the Authority to Conclude Contracts in the Name of the Foreign Enterprise	224
	8.4.2	Commissionaire as a PE	230
	8.4.2.1	Court Cases	233
	8.4.2.2	Appraisal of the Court Decisions and Their Implications for the Taxation of Income from Enterprise Services	238
	8.5	Consequences of Existence of an Agency PE	240

	8.6	Agent Without Authority to Conclude Contracts Who Habitually Maintains a Stock of Goods or Merchandise	241
	8.7	Conclusion	244
9		Agency PE after the Anti-BEPS Proposals	245
	9.1	Broadening the Concept of Agency PE	245
	9.2	Narrowing the Scope of the Independent Agent Provision	247
	9.2.1	Exclusion of the Express Reference to Brokers and General Commission Agents	248
	9.2.2	Deeming Agents Acting Exclusively or Almost Exclusively on Behalf of Closely Related Enterprises to Be Dependent	248
	9.2.3	When Should an Agent Be Considered Independent	250
	9.3	Possibility of Making Reservations under the MLI	251
	9.4	General Remarks	252
10		Agency PE and Contracts for the Provision of Services	253
11		Anti-organ Clause and Subsidiaries as PEs	259
	11.1	Origin of the Anti-organ Clause	259
	11.2	Pre-requisites for a Subsidiary to Be Considered a PE of Its Parent	260
	11.2.1	Appointment of Persons Conducting the Business of the Subsidiary by the Parent Company	261
	11.2.2	“The Head and the Brain” (Management Director) of the Subsidiary Is an Employee (E.g. Vice-President) of the Parent Company	261
	11.2.3	Parent Company Directs the Subsidiary, i.e., “Govern[s] the Adventure, Decide[s] What Should Be Done and What Capital Should Be Embarked in the Venture” of the Subsidiary. In Other Words, Parent Is in “Full Effectual and Constant Control” of the Subsidiary	263
	11.2.4	Skill and Direction Through Which Profits Are Made by the Subsidiary Belong to the Parent Company	263
	11.2.5	Business Scope of the Subsidiary Coincides with the Business Scope of the Parent Company	263
	11.2.6	Premises of the Subsidiary Are at the Disposal of the Employees of the Parent Company	264

Table of Contents

	11.2.7	Subsidiary Has, in Fact, Authority to Legally and Economically Bind the Parent Company and Exercises This Authority Habitually	265
	11.2.8	Employees of the Parent Company Are Seconded to the Subsidiary, but Continue to Be Remunerated by the Parent Company / Parent Company Reimburses the Costs of the Subsidiary, Including the Costs Caused by the Remuneration of Employees Seconded by the Parent Company to the Subsidiary	266
	11.3	Consequences of the Re-characterization	266
12		Home Office as PE	267
13		Insurance PE	269
14		Services PE	271
	14.1	From a Physical Presence Threshold to an Activities Threshold	271
	14.2	Overview of the Services PE in the Model Conventions	275
	14.2.1	UN MC: The First MC to Contain a Services PE Provision	275
	14.2.2	The Introduction of Alternative Provisions in the 2008 OECD Model Commentary	275
	14.2.3	The 2006 U.S. MC and the U.S.-Canada Services PE Provisions	276
	14.2.3.1	Dudney Case: Inadequacy of the Fixed Base Threshold as an Argument for the Introduction of Services PE Rules in the U.S.-Canada DTC	277
	14.2.3.2	Wolf Case: Difficulty in Distinguishing Dependent from Independent Provision of Services Points to Inadequacy of the Fixed Base Threshold	278
	14.3	Project Services PE	284
	14.3.1	Project Services PE under the UN MC	286
	14.3.1.1	Requirements for the Existence of a Project Services PE under the UN MC	286
	14.3.1.2	Consequences of the Existence of a Project Services PE under the UN MC	307

	14.3.1.3	Relation Between Project Services PE and Construction PE under the UN MC	308
	14.3.2	Project Services PEs under the OECD's Alternative Provision	308
	14.3.2.1	Requirements for Existence of a Project Services PE under the OECD's Alternative Provision that Differ from Those of the UN Equivalent Provision	308
	14.3.2.2	Consequences of the Existence of a Project Services PE under the OECD's Alternative Provision	310
	14.3.2.3	Relationship Between the OECD's Alternative Provision on Project Services PE and the Construction PE	310
	14.3.3	Project Services PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	311
	14.3.3.1	Requirements for Existence of a Project Services PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	311
	14.3.3.2	Consequences of the Existence of a Project Services PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	314
	14.3.3.3	Relationship Between Project Services PE and Construction PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	314
	14.3.4	Project Services PEs in Comparison	315
14.4		Individual Services PE	315
	14.4.1	The UN Alternative Provision on Individual Services PEs	317
	14.4.1.1	Subjective Scope of Application	317
	14.4.1.2	Requirements for the Existence of an Individual Services PE under the UN Alternative Provision	318
	14.4.1.3	Consequences of the Existence of an Individual Services PE under the UN Alternative Provision	320
	14.4.1.4	Relationship Between the UN Alternative Provision on Individual Services PE and the Construction PE	320

	14.4.2	The OECD's Alternative Provision on Individual Services PE	321
	14.4.2.1	Subjective Scope of Application	321
	14.4.2.2	Requirements for Existence of an Individual Services PE under the OECD's Alternative Provision	321
	14.4.2.3	Consequences of the Existence of an Individual Services PE under the OECD's Alternative Provision	322
	14.4.2.4	Relationship Between the OECD's Alternative Provision on Individual Services PE and the Construction PE	322
	14.4.3	The Individual Services PE Rule under the U.S.-Canada DTC	323
	14.4.3.1	Subjective Scope of Application	323
	14.4.3.2	Requirements for Existence of an Individual Services PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	323
	14.4.3.3	Consequences of the Existence of an Individual Services PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	324
	14.4.3.4	Relationship Between the Individual Services PE and the Construction PE under the U.S.-Canada DTC	324
	14.5	Conclusion	324
15		Server as a PE and Cloud Computing	325
	15.1	Server as a PE in the Model Commentaries	325
	15.2	Cloud Computing	327
	15.3	Possible Measures to Allow the Host State to Tax Profits from the Digital Economy	329
	15.3.1	Unilateral Measures to Tax Profits Arising from a Digital Presence	329
	15.3.2	Proposals in the Context of the BEPS Project	330
	15.3.3	Paper of the European Commission on the Taxation of the Digital Economy	331
	15.3.4	The Proposed UN Article on Fees for Technical Services	331
	15.3.5	Our Proposal	332
	15.4	Conclusion	332
II		Independent Personal Services	332
	1	Introductory Remarks	334
	2	Deletion of Art. 14 of the OECD MC	335
	2.1	How Should the Deletion of Art. 14 Be Evaluated?	337

	2.1.1	Neutrality Between Income from the Independent Provision of Personal Services and Business Profits	338
	2.1.2	Neutrality Between Income from Independent Provision of Services and Employment Income	339
	2.1.2.1	Alternative (a): Employment Income Is Subject Exclusively to the Thresholds Presently Applicable to Business Profits	344
	2.1.2.2	Alternative (b): Business Profits Are Exclusively Subject to the Thresholds Currently Applicable to Dependent Personal Services	344
	2.1.2.3	Alternative (c): Business Profits and Dependent Personal Services Are Both Subject to the Thresholds Presently Applicable Both to Business Profits and to Employment Income	345
3		Article 14 of the UN MC	345
	3.1	Should Art. 14 of the UN MC Be Deleted?	347
	3.1.1	Neutrality Between Income from the Independent Provision of Personal Services and Business Profits	347
	3.1.1.1	In the Current Scenario	347
	3.1.1.2	If Art. 14 of the UN MC Were Deleted	348
	3.1.2	Neutrality Between Income from the Independent Provision of Services and Employment Income	349
	3.1.2.1	In the Current Scenario	349
	3.1.2.2	If Art. 14 of the UN MC Were Deleted	350
4		Current Importance of Art. 14	350
III		Fees for Technical Services	352
	1	Know-How and Technical Services under the MCs	352
	1.1	Practical Consequences of Distinguishing Between Know-How and Services	352
	1.2	How to Distinguish Between Know-How and Services	353
	2	The Practice of Net Importers of Services and Technology	355
	2.1	Host State's Jurisdiction to Tax Royalty Payments	355
	2.2	Inclusion of Fees for Technical Services and Technical Assistance Within the Royalties Article	360

Table of Contents

3	Fees for Technical and Other Services in the UN Model Convention	365
3.1	Reasons Why It Is Planned to Introduce the Article	365
3.2	Structure and Content of the Article	369
3.2.1	Subjective Scope of Application	370
3.2.2	Division of the Right to Tax Between Residence and Host State	370
3.2.3	Applicable Rate of Host State Taxation	371
3.2.4	Relation to Other DTC Articles Dealing with Services	371
3.2.5	Definition of “Fees for Technical Services”	372
3.2.6	Arm’s Length Caveat	375
3.3	Critical Evaluation	375
IV	Conclusions from the Analysis of the Current MCs	376
1	Should the Distinction Between Business Profits, Income from Independent Personal Services, Employment Income and Fees for Technical Services Be Retained?	376
2	What Are the Challenges Presented by the Allocation of Taxing Rights over Income from Entrepreneurial, Professional, and Technical Services under the MCs?	376
CHAPTER 4		
	Do Legal or Economic Principles Provide an Answer as to the Division of the Right to Tax?	377
I	Equity: Allocation of the Right to Tax Between States and of the Tax Burden Between the Taxpayers	378
1	Inter-nation Equity	378
2	Inter-individual Equity	380
3	Economic Allegiance	382
3.1	Economic Allegiance as Implementation of Inter-nation Equity	382
3.2	Economic Allegiance as Implementation of Inter-individual Equity	385
3.3	Economic Allegiance as a Parameter for DTC Thresholds for Taxation of Income from Services	385
4	Benefit Principle	386
5	Ability to Pay	388
6	Source of Income	393
6.1	Source Versus Residence	393
6.2	Where Is the Source of Income?	398
6.3	Source Principle and the Taxation of Income from the International Provision of Services under the MCs	402
7	Base Erosion	403

8	BEPS	404
II	Efficiency: Allocation of Capital, Persons and Services Between the States	405
1	Tax Neutrality in General	406
2	Tax Neutrality in International Tax Law: Capital as Factor	406
2.1	Capital Export Neutrality, Capital Import Neutrality, Capital Ownership Neutrality, National Neutrality	409
2.1.1	Overview	409
2.1.1.1	The View of Peggy B. Musgrave	409
2.1.1.2	Division of CIN into Savings Neutrality and CON	411
2.1.1.3	Preliminary Conclusions on the Use of CEN, CIN, CON, and National Neutrality as Benchmarks for the Division of the Right to Tax Income	412
2.1.2	In the Context of the International Provision of Services	413
2.1.2.1	Durable Physical Commercial Presence	413
3	Tax Neutrality in International Tax Law: Persons as a Factor	417
3.1	Durable Presence of Natural Persons	417
3.1.1	Normative Analysis	417
3.1.2	Are the Provisions of the Four MCs Analyzed in Line with the Results Achieved?	419
4	Tax Neutrality in International Tax Law: Services as a Factor	421
4.1	Temporary Presence of Legal Persons Through Employees or Other Personnel and Temporary Presence of Natural Persons	421
4.1.1	Normative Analysis	421
4.1.2	Are the Provisions of the Four MCs Analyzed in Line with the Results Achieved?	423
4.2	Remote Supply	423
4.2.1	Remote Provision Is Similar to Consumption Abroad	424
4.2.1.1	Normative Analysis	424
4.2.1.2	Are the Provisions of the Four MCs Analyzed in Line with the Results Achieved?	425
4.2.2	Remote Service Provider Is in a Comparable Position to Resident of the Host State with Respect to Level of Access to the Market	425
4.2.2.1	Normative Analysis	425

Table of Contents

	4.2.2.2	Are the Provisions of the Four MCs Analyzed in Line with the Results Achieved?	429
	5	Summary and Suggestions <i>de lege ferenda</i>	430
III		Conclusion	436
	1	Should the Distinction Between Business Profits, Income from Independent Personal Services, Employment Income and Fees for Technical Services Be Retained?	436
	2	Do Legal or Economic Principles Provide an Answer as to the Division of the Right to Tax?	436
CHAPTER 5			
		Conclusions	439
I		Why Do So Many DTC Articles Deal with Income from the Provision of Services?	439
II		Should the Distinction Between Business Profits, Income from Independent Personal Services, Employment Income and Fees for Technical Services Be Retained?	439
III		What Are the Origins of the Current DTC Provisions on Income from Entrepreneurial and Professional Services?	440
IV		Do Legal or Economic Principles Provide an Answer as to the Division of the Right to Tax ?	440
V		What Are the Challenges Presented by the Allocation of Taxing Rights over Income from Entrepreneurial, Professional, and Technical Services under the MCs?	440
		Bibliography	441
		Legal and Administrative Acts (In Chronological Order)	477
		Administrative and Judicial Decisions (In Chronological Order)	485
		Index	489