

The UN Model Convention and Its Relevance for the Global Tax Treaty Network

Editors:

Michael Lang
Pasquale Pistone
Alexander Rust
Josef Schuch
Claus Staringer



Volume 7

WU Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law
European and International Tax Law and Policy Series

Table of Contents

Preface		xv
Chapter 1	The Relevance of the Commentaries on the OECD and UN Models for the Interpretation of the UN Model	1
	<i>David Orzechowski</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.2.	Legal fundamentals of the UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	2
1.2.1.	The OECD Model and the Commentaries thereon	2
1.2.2.	The UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	4
1.3.	Official languages of the UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	6
1.3.1.	The OECD Model and the Commentaries thereon	6
1.3.2.	The UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	10
1.4.	Possibilities for the articulation of dissenting opinions on a model convention	12
1.4.1.	The OECD Model and the Commentaries thereon	12
1.4.2.	The UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	15
1.5.	The OECD Model and the Commentaries thereon as the basis for the UN Model and the Commentaries thereon	17
1.5.1.	Adoption of passages from the Commentaries on the OECD Model and the partially autonomous formulation of the Commentaries on the UN Model	17
1.5.2.	Absence of passages of the Commentaries on the OECD Model in the Commentaries on the UN Model	19
1.6.	Conclusion	22

Chapter 2	Business Profits, Permanent Establishments and Associated Enterprises	25
	<i>Raffaele Petruzzi and Viktoria Wöhrer</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	25
2.2.	Nexus for source state taxation	26
2.2.1.	Enterprise in the source state	26
2.2.2.	Permanent establishment in the source state	28
2.2.2.1.	Background	28
2.2.2.2.	Specific activity exemptions	29
2.2.2.3.	Construction PE	32
2.2.2.4.	Service PE	36
2.2.2.4.1.	Background	36
2.2.2.4.2.	Article 5(3)(b) UN Model versus the alternative provision in the Commentary on the OECD Model	37
2.2.2.4.3.	Criteria for a service PE	39
2.2.2.4.4.	Calculation of 183-day threshold	43
2.2.2.4.5.	Summary: Service PE	45
2.2.2.5.	Agency PE	46
2.2.3.	Conclusions	49
2.3.	Profit allocation	50
2.3.1.	Enterprise in the source state	50
2.3.1.1.	Location-specific advantages	53
2.3.1.2.	Lack of comparables data	56
2.3.1.3.	Entitlement to intangible-related returns	58
2.3.2.	Permanent establishment in the source state	61
2.3.2.1.	Limited force of attraction principle	62
2.3.2.2.	Authorized OECD Approach	65
2.3.3.	Conclusions	67
2.4.	Conclusion	69
Chapter 3	Independent and Dependent Personal Services	71
	<i>Benjamin Walker and Alexander Rust</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	71
3.2.	Independent and dependent personal services	71
3.2.1.	Historical roots	71
3.2.2.	Distinction	73

3.3.	Article 15	74
3.4.	Article 14	75
3.4.1.	Pre-2000 article 14 of the OECD Model versus article 14 of the UN Model	75
3.4.2.	Article 7 of the OECD Model versus article 14 of the UN Model	76
3.4.3.	Fixed base and income tax treaties	78
3.4.3.1.	Does the exception for auxiliary activities contained in article 5(4) of the UN Model apply to a fixed base?	78
3.4.3.2.	Does article 5(5) and (6) apply to a fixed base?	78
3.4.3.3.	Does article 24(3) of the UN Model apply to a fixed base?	79
3.4.3.4.	What is the difference between article 14(1)(b) and article 5(3)(b) of the UN Model?	80
3.4.3.5.	Should the “limited force of attraction” principle under article 7(1)(b) and (c) of the UN Model apply to article 14 of the UN Model as well?	80
3.4.4.	Conclusion	81
3.5.	Technical services article	81
3.5.1.	Article XX	82
3.5.2.	Relationship to article 14	84
3.5.3.	Fees for technical services and royalties	86
3.5.4.	Conclusion	87
Chapter 4	International Traffic	89
	<i>Claus Staringer and Matthias Mayer</i>	
4.1.	Introduction	89
4.2.	Article 8 of the UN Model and article 8 of the OECD Model	90
4.2.1.	Structure and application of article 8A of the UN Model and article 8 of the OECD Model	90
4.2.2.	Background and aim of article 8 of the UN Model	92
4.2.3.	Divergence: Article 8B of the UN Model	94
4.2.4.	Interpretation issue: Place of effective management	96

Table of Contents

4.3.	Differences between the Commentary on the UN Model and the Commentary on the OECD Model with regard to international traffic	101
4.3.1.	Recent discussion and trends	101
4.3.2.	Auxiliary activities versus ancillary activities	103
4.3.3.	Cruise shipping	113
4.4.	Conclusion	115
Chapter 5	Passive Income <i>Anna Binder and Selina Siller</i>	117
5.1.	Introduction	117
5.2.	Dividends and interest under the UN Model	118
5.2.1.	Differences between the OECD and UN Models	118
5.2.2.	Branch profits tax	119
5.3.	Source country taxation of royalties under the UN Model	124
5.3.1.	Allocation of taxing rights under the UN Model	124
5.3.2.	Determining the source country	126
5.4.	Definition of royalties under the UN Model	126
5.4.1.	Extended or expanded scope of the royalties article under the UN Model	126
5.4.2.	Heterogeneous definition of royalties under the UN Model	128
5.4.3.	Issues surrounding the inclusion of ICS equipment in the definition of royalties under the UN Model	129
5.4.3.1.	No common understanding of ICS equipment	129
5.4.3.2.	Treatment of transmission capacity and the concept of “use”	130
5.4.3.3.	Relation between article 12 and article 8	134
5.5.	Software payment-related issues	136
5.6.	Conclusion	137

Chapter 6	Capital Gains	139
	<i>Peter Bräumann and Michael Tumpel</i>	
6.1.	Introduction: Overview of article 13 of the UN Model	139
6.2.	A comparison to article 13 of the OECD Model	141
6.3.	General considerations on capital gain taxation in the rationale of the Model Conventions	144
6.3.1.	Necessity for an own provision	144
6.3.2.	“Alienation” of property	144
6.3.3.	Methods for elimination of double taxation	146
6.4.	The “land-rich company” clause in paragraph 4	147
6.4.1.	Nature and origin	147
6.4.2.	Definition of the 50% threshold	147
6.4.3.	Exemption for entities with property used for business activities	149
6.4.4.	Inclusion of partnerships, trusts and estates	150
6.4.5.	Unresolved issues and problems in application	151
6.5.	The “substantial participation” clause in paragraph 5	153
6.6.	Description: Beneficial ownership as a future concept?	156
6.7.	Conclusion	157
Chapter 7	Directors’ Fees and Remuneration of Top-Level Managerial Officials	159
	<i>Patrick Orlet and Josef Schuch</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	159
7.2.	The problem of double taxation and the relevance of the UN Model	160
7.3.	Comparison of article 16 of the OECD Model and article 16 of the UN Model	161

7.4.	Scope and functioning of article 16 of the OECD Model and article 16(1) of the UN Model	162
7.4.1.	Problems arising from the application of article 16	162
7.4.2.	The meaning of “member of the board of directors”	165
7.4.3.	The controversy surrounding of the German version of article 16 of the OECD Model	166
7.5.	Scope and functioning of article 16(2) of the UN Model	171
7.5.1.	Problems raised by article 16(2) of the UN Model	171
7.5.2.	The meaning of “top-level managers”	173
7.5.3.	Practical impact of article 16(2) of the UN Model	177
7.6.	Conclusion and outlook	179
Chapter 8	Pensions, Students and Other Income	181
	<i>Eline Huisman and Nadine Oberbauer</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	181
8.2.	Pensions	182
8.2.1.	Article 18 of the OECD and UN Models	182
8.2.1.1.	Article 18 of the OECD Model	182
8.2.1.2.	Article 18A of the UN Model	182
8.2.1.3.	Article 18B of the UN Model	186
8.2.1.4.	Purpose of article 18 of the OECD and UN Models	188
8.2.1.5.	Distinction between article 18 of the OECD Model and article 18 of the UN Model	189
8.2.2.	Summary	191
8.3.	Student income	192
8.3.1.	Article 20 of the OECD and UN Models	192
8.3.1.1.	History of article 20 of the OECD Model	192
8.3.1.2.	History of article 20 of the UN Model	193
8.3.1.3.	Purpose of article 20 of the OECD and UN Models	195
8.3.1.4.	Distinction between article 20 of the OECD Model and article 20 of the UN Model	195
8.3.2.	Summary	200
8.4.	Other income	200
8.4.1.	Article 21 of the OECD and UN Models	200
8.4.1.1.	History of article 21 of the OECD Model	200

8.4.1.2.	History of article 21 of the UN Model	202
8.4.1.3.	Purpose of article 21 of the OECD and UN Models	202
8.4.1.4.	Distinction between article 21 of the OECD Model and article 21 of the UN Model	203
8.4.2.	Summary	206
Chapter 9	Elimination of Double Taxation	209
	<i>Rita Julien</i>	
9.1.	Introduction	209
9.2.	Article 23 of the UN Model in 2011 and in 2017	212
9.3.	Key issue of the exemption method: Double non-taxation due to conflicts of qualification and interpretation	217
9.3.1.	Overview	217
9.3.2.	Article 23A/B(1): Conflicts of qualification	218
9.3.3.	Article 23A(4): Conflicts of interpretation	222
9.3.4.	Arguments for and against including the OECD approaches in the UN Model	224
9.4.	Key issue of the credit method: Tax incentives in developing countries and tax sparing and matching credits	233
9.4.1.	Overview	233
9.4.2.	Role of tax sparing and matching credits	234
9.4.3.	Revaluation of tax sparing and matching credits	237
9.4.4.	Best practices for design	250
9.5.	Conclusion	257
Chapter 10	Dispute Resolution	261
	<i>Laura Turcan</i>	
10.1.	Setting the context	261
10.1.1.	The importance of dispute resolution and current international developments	261
10.1.2.	The current UN project to improve dispute resolution	263
10.1.3.	Aim and structure of the chapter	265

Table of Contents

10.2.	The mutual agreement procedure under the UN Model	266
10.2.1.	Overview of the dispute resolution process under the UN Model	266
10.2.2.	Differences in the wording of article 25(1) to (4) of the UN and OECD Models	267
10.2.3.	Differences between the Commentaries on Article 25 of the UN and OECD Models with regard to the MAP	268
10.2.3.1.	Access to the MAP	268
10.2.3.2.	Procedural aspects and implementation	271
10.3.	Arbitration under the UN Model	276
10.3.1.	The introduction of arbitration into the UN Model	276
10.3.2.	Differences in the arbitration clauses of the UN and OECD Models	279
10.3.3.	The concerns of developing countries with regard to arbitration	283
10.3.3.1.	Overview	283
10.3.3.2.	The issue of volume	284
10.3.3.3.	Lack of experience	286
10.3.3.4.	Costs and lack of capacity	287
10.3.3.5.	The sovereignty complaint	288
10.3.3.6.	The selection of arbitrators	290
10.3.4.	Differences in the Sample Mutual Agreements on Arbitration	291
10.3.4.1.	Overview	291
10.3.4.2.	Baseball versus independent opinion arbitration	291
10.3.4.3.	The <i>de minimis</i> rule	295
10.3.4.4.	Involvement of the taxpayer	296
10.3.4.5.	Deviations concerning arbitrators	297
10.4.	Practical impact of the UN Model	298
10.5.	The impact of the OECD and EU proposals on the UN Model	300
10.5.1.	The impact of BEPS Action 14	300
10.5.2.	The impact of BEPS Action 15	308
10.5.3.	The impact of the EU Proposal for an Arbitration Directive	315
10.6.	Conclusion	317

Chapter 11	Exchange of Information and Mutual Assistance in the Collection of Taxes	319
	<i>Pasquale Pistone and Pedro Guilherme Lindenberg Schoueri</i>	
11.1.	Introduction	319
11.2.	Article 26 of the UN Model	321
11.2.1.	Main features of article 26 of the UN Model	321
11.2.2.	Comparison to article 26 of the OECD Model	324
11.2.3.	Comparison to the MAC	328
11.2.4.	Comparison to the Model TIEA	333
11.2.5.	Summary	336
11.3.	Article 27 of the UN Model	337
11.3.1.	Main features of article 27 of the UN Model	338
11.3.2.	Comparison to article 27 of the OECD Model	340
11.3.3.	Comparison to the MAC	342
11.4.	Cross-analysis of articles 26 and 27 of the UN Model	343
11.5.	Conclusion	346
List of Authors		349