

Knowing Democracy

*A Pragmatist Account of the Epistemic Dimension
in Democratic Politics*

Thesis

Presented to the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences
of the University of Zurich
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Michael Ivo Räber

Accepted in the spring semester 2016
on the recommendation of the doctoral committee:

Prof. Dr. Francis Cheneval (main advisor)

Prof. Dr. Mark E. Warren

Prof. Dr. Markus Stepanians

Prof. Dr. Marco Steenbergen

Zurich, 2016

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1 Epistemic Democracy & Pragmatist Epistemic Proceduralism | 21 |
| Core Statement & Its Versions | 22 |
| Pragmatist Epistemic Proceduralism | 42 |
| 2 Answering the 'Realist' Challenge | 51 |
| Should We Be Worried About the Epistemic Performance of Democratic Decision Making Procedures? | 54 |
| How Grave are the Failures of Individual Voting Behavior? | 60 |
| 3 A Pragmatist Social Epistemology | 75 |
| Dewey and Peirce: An Instrumentalist Theory of Acquiring Judgments | 80 |
| The Structure of Knowledge-Generating Processes | 96 |
| Experimental Knowledge versus Knowledge by Intuition | 106 |

| | | |
|---|---|-----|
| 4 | Truth as a Regulative Ideal | 114 |
| | The Pragmatist Notion of Truth | 120 |
| | Is The Pragmatist Notion of Truth Absurd? | 129 |
| | Truth's Role in Inquiry-Processes | 132 |
| | A Non-Transcendental Interpretation of Truth as a Regulative Ideal | 137 |
| 5 | The Cognitive Dimension of Political Judgments | 149 |
| | Facts and Values | 152 |
| | The Cognitive Dimension of Judgments | 157 |
| | Political Judgments | 161 |
| | How To Render Moral and Political Judgments Objective | 165 |
| 6 | Political Democratic Deliberation | 169 |
| | The neo-Peircean Outlook | 170 |
| | The Meaning of Political Disagreement—The Limits of the Neo- Peircean Argument | 174 |
| 7 | The Epistemic Value of Diversity and Disagreement | 192 |
| | Pluralism, Disagreement and Deliberation | 193 |
| | Deliberative Institutions as Epstemic Insurances | 203 |
| | The Epistemic Value of Diversity in Democratic Deliberations | 208 |
| 8 | Deliberative Systems and Epistemic Quality | 216 |
| | Deliberative Systems | 219 |
| | How to Measure the Positive Epistemic Effects of Diversity in Deliberative Systems | 223 |
| | Conclusion | 245 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 9 Political Knowledge | 250 |
| The Composite Nature of Political Problems | 253 |
| Mapping Political Knowledge | 255 |
| The Place of Political Knowledge in Democracy | 273 |
| The Legitimate Influence of Specialists and Non-Specialists on Political Knowledge | 280 |
| | |
| Conclusion | 284 |
| | |
| Bibliography | 289 |