

# Contents

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Preface</i>   | xi  |
| <i>Acknowledgments</i>   | xii |
| <i>List of abbreviations</i>   | xiv |
| <br>   |     |
| 1. Introduction  | 1   |
| 1.1 Background and rationale   | 1   |
| 1.2 Proposal in a nutshell   | 5   |
| 1.2.1 What did proto-syntax look like?   | 5   |
| 1.2.2 A method of reconstruction based on Minimalism   | 8   |
| 1.3 Three rough stages   | 12  |
| 1.4 Can natural/sexual selection be relevant for syntax?   | 14  |
| 1.5 Corroboration and testing  | 20  |
| 1.6 A brief comparison with Jackendoff's (and other) approaches  | 21  |
| 1.7 Syntactic theory   | 26  |
| 1.8 Chapter-by-chapter overview  | 29  |
| <br>   |     |
| 2. The small (clause) beginnings   | 33  |
| 2.1 Introduction   | 33  |
| 2.2 Root small clauses in English  | 34  |
| 2.3 (Unaccusative) Root small clauses in Serbian   | 40  |
| 2.4 Small clause syntax is rigid (no Move, no recursion)   | 44  |
| 2.5 Corroborating evidence and testing grounds   | 49  |
| 2.5.1 Language acquisition   | 49  |
| 2.5.2 Agrammatism  | 52  |
| 2.5.3 Neuroimaging   | 52  |
| 2.5.4 Genetics and the FOXP2 gene  | 53  |
| 2.5.5 Stratification accounts elsewhere  | 55  |
| 2.6 Conclusion   | 56  |
| <br>   |     |
| 3. The intransitive two-word stage: Absolutes, unaccusatives,<br>and middles as precursors to transitivity | 57  |
| 3.1 Introduction: The two-word stage   | 57  |
| 3.2 Intransitive absolutes   | 62  |
| 3.3 More on living fossils: What is it that unaccusatives, exocentrics,<br>and absolutes have in common?   | 65  |
| 3.3.1 Unaccusatives  | 66  |
| 3.3.2 Exocentric compounds   | 68  |

|         |  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
| 3.3.3   | Absolutives  | 70  |
| 3.3.4   | More absolutive-like patterns in nominative/accusative languages                   | 73  |
| 3.3.4.1 | Nominals   | 73  |
| 3.3.4.2 | Dative subjects  | 74  |
| 3.3.4.3 | Clausal complements  | 74  |
| 3.4     | Precursors to transitivity   | 75  |
| 3.4.1   | Serial verb constructions  | 75  |
| 3.4.2   | The “middle” ground  | 76  |
| 3.5     | Corroborating evidence and testing grounds   | 81  |
| 3.6     | Conclusion   | 85  |
| 4.      | Parataxis and coordination as precursors to hierarchy: Evolving recursive grammars | 86  |
| 4.1     | Hypothesized evolutionary stages of syntax   | 86  |
| 4.2     | Paratactic proto-syntax stage  | 89  |
| 4.2.1   | Operation Conjoin: Clause-internally and clause-externally                         | 89  |
| 4.2.2   | Paratactic grammar vs. separate utterances   | 95  |
| 4.2.3   | Absolutes and correlatives: More on Conjoin  | 99  |
| 4.3     | The proto-coordination stage   | 102 |
| 4.4     | The specific functional category stage   | 109 |
| 4.4.1   | From linkers to specific functional categories                                     | 109 |
| 4.4.2   | CP and recursion   | 111 |
| 4.4.3   | DP and recursion   | 113 |
| 4.4.4   | Benefits of subordination  | 115 |
| 4.4.5   | Possible precursors to Move  | 117 |
| 4.4.6   | Transitions and overlaps   | 120 |
| 4.5     | Corroborating evidence   | 123 |
| 4.5.1   | Corroborating evidence for the paratactic stage                                    | 123 |
| 4.5.1.1 | Ancient languages  | 123 |
| 4.5.1.2 | Grammaticalization   | 123 |
| 4.5.1.3 | Comparative studies: Animal communication  | 124 |
| 4.5.1.4 | Agrammatism  | 126 |
| 4.5.1.5 | Neuroscience   | 127 |
| 4.5.1.6 | Acquisition  | 128 |
| 4.5.2   | Corroborating evidence for a proto-coordination stage                              | 128 |
| 4.6     | Concluding remarks   | 129 |
| 5.      | Islandhood (Subjacency) as an epiphenomenon of evolutionary tinkering              | 131 |
| 5.1     | Introductory note  | 131 |
| 5.2     | What is islandhood/subjacency?   | 131 |

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 5.3   | Why there is no principled account of islandhood  | 135 |
| 5.4   | Subjacency in the light of evolution  | 139 |
| 5.5   | Conclusion  | 142 |
| 6.    | Exocentric VN compounds: The best fossils   | 144 |
| 6.1   | Introduction  | 144 |
| 6.2   | Paratactic grammar behind VN compounds  | 145 |
| 6.2.1 | Absolutive-like proto-predication   | 145 |
| 6.2.2 | Exocentricity   | 149 |
| 6.3   | A comparison with the hierarchical verbal compounds   | 152 |
| 6.4   | A surprising verb form: The imperative  | 156 |
| 6.5   | Crosslinguistic distribution and parallels  | 162 |
| 6.5.1 | VN compounds in other Slavic languages  | 162 |
| 6.5.2 | VN compounds in Romance languages   | 163 |
| 6.5.3 | VN compounds in non-Indo-European languages   | 166 |
| 6.6   | VN compounds and sexual selection   | 167 |
| 6.7   | Corroborating evidence and testing grounds  | 169 |
| 6.8   | Concluding remarks  | 171 |
| 6.9   | Appendix 1: Additional English VN compounds   | 171 |
| 6.10  | Appendix 2: Additional (mostly coarse) VN compounds as<br>Serbian people and place names              | 172 |
| 7.    | The plausibility of natural selection for syntax  | 174 |
| 7.1   | Concrete and selectable advantages accrued by each stage  | 174 |
| 7.2   | From one-word to two-word utterances: Vagueness galore  | 175 |
| 7.3   | From the two-word stage to hierarchical syntax: Evolving<br>transitivity, displacement, and recursion | 180 |
| 7.3.1 | Introductory remarks  | 180 |
| 7.3.2 | Grammaticalizing tense  | 180 |
| 7.3.3 | Grammaticalizing transitivity   | 183 |
| 7.3.4 | Recursion   | 187 |
| 7.3.5 | Historical change vs. language evolution  | 190 |
| 7.4   | A detailed selection scenario   | 193 |
| 7.5   | The timeline for the evolution of language  | 198 |
| 7.5.1 | Was there enough time?  | 198 |
| 7.5.2 | The timeline  | 199 |
| 8.    | Conclusion  | 207 |