

# Table of contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
CHAPTER 1	
<b>Semantic interest in frames</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Evidence for frames	5
1.2 Frames in research	10
1.2.1 The development of frame research	11
1.2.2 Frames and other representation formats	17
1.3 Frames in cognitive science	29
1.3.1 Cognition, representation, categorization	29
1.3.2 Positions in cognitive theory	36
1.3.3 Frames in modularist and holistic approaches	43
CHAPTER 2	
<b>Cognitive theory and semantic issues</b>	<b>49</b>
2.1 Holism vs. Modularism: an example	50
2.2 Modularism	55
2.2.1 Two-level semantics (M. Bierwisch)	56
2.2.2 Frame semantics vs. two-level semantics: some issues	61
2.2.3 Example analyses	65
2.2.4 Three-level semantics (M. Schwarz)	77
2.3 Holism	87
2.3.1 Meaning as conceptualization	88
2.3.2 Language as conceptualization (R. Langacker vs. R. Jackendoff)	91
CHAPTER 3	
<b>The holistic paradigm</b>	<b>99</b>
3.1 Are linguistic and conceptual knowledge distinct entities?	101
3.1.1 Essence vs. accident?	107
3.1.2 Synthetic vs. analytic truths?	109
3.1.3 Culture vs. language?	111
3.1.4 Semantics vs. pragmatics?	113
3.2 The “space of understanding” (C. Demmerling)	121

3.3	The postulate of U-relevance	128	
3.3.1	Busse's explicative semantics	129	
3.3.2	Approaches in psycholinguistic research on language-processing	135	
3.3.3	Comparison of knowledge types	143	
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>			
	<b>Semiotic issues</b>		<b>147</b>
4.1	Linguistic signs as constructions	150	
4.1.1	The symbolic principle in construction grammar and Cognitive Grammar	151	
4.1.2	What are constructions and symbolic units?	153	
4.1.3	Constructions in the "space of understanding"	164	
4.2	Frames and symbolic units	168	
4.2.1	Conventional vs. contextual aspects of meaning (R. Langacker)	170	
4.2.2	Are "situations" and "backgrounds" elements of semantic units? (J. Zlatev)	179	
4.2.3	Are "scenes" elements of semantic units? (C. Fillmore)	188	
4.3	Relations	196	
4.3.1	Evoked and invoked frames (C. Fillmore)	197	
4.3.2	Meaning potentials (J. Allwood)	202	
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>			
	<b>Frames as schemata</b>		<b>211</b>
5.1	Categorization	212	
5.2	Schemata	218	
5.2.1	Schemata as representational formats of non-specific modality	220	
5.2.2	Shared features of frames and schemata	227	
5.3	Frames as schemata: example analysis	233	
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>			
	<b>The structural constituents of frames</b>		<b>243</b>
6.1	Issues	243	
6.2	Reference	247	
6.2.1	Frames as a projection area of referentiality	248	
6.2.2	Every word evokes a frame	252	
6.3	Predication potential: slots	256	
6.3.1	What are slots?	257	
6.3.2	Hyperonym type reduction: determining slots	264	
6.3.3	Example analysis	274	
6.4	Explicit predications: fillers	280	
6.4.1	When are predications explicit?	281	
6.4.2	Linguistic manifestations	284	

---

6.5	Implicit predications: default values	289
6.5.1	Recurrent schema instantiations: token and type frequency	292
6.5.2	“Cognitive trails” as phenomena of the third kind	300
6.5.3	Type frequency: an example	307
CHAPTER 7		
	<b>Frames in discourse: the FINANCIAL INVESTORS AS LOCUSTS metaphor</b>	<b>315</b>
7.1	Preliminaries	316
7.1.1	Frames as an instrument of corpus-based analysis	316
7.1.2	Cognitive and discourse-related aspects of metaphors	322
7.2	The “capitalism debate”	332
7.2.1	Discourse and corpus	333
7.2.2	Investigation period, discourse development, research corpus	336
7.2.3	Locust: a basic discourse-semantic figure	339
7.3	Methodological guidelines for the corpus-based analysis	349
7.3.1	Annotations	349
7.3.2	Predication analysis	352
7.3.3	Hyperonym type reduction	354
7.3.4	Classification of explicit predications	359
7.4	Empirical results	362
7.4.1	The generic frame	362
7.4.2	The input frames locust/s and financial investor/s	365
7.4.3	The metaphor frame	371
7.5	Frame semantics and discourse analysis: some conclusions	377
CHAPTER 8		
	<b>Conclusions and future research perspectives</b>	<b>379</b>
	References	387
	Name index	421
	Subject index	423