

European Capital Movements and Corporate Taxation

From Transaction-Based Origins to More
Principle-Based Capital and Taxation Policies

Nana Šumrada Slavnić

Thesis
submitted to the Catholic University of Leuven (KU Leuven)
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor

Degree awarded on 8 April 2011



Volume 35
IBFD Doctoral Series

Table of Contents

Preface	xi
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Sphere of EU capital transactions	12
1.1.1. Scope of the study	12
1.1.2. Methodology of the study	13
1.1.3. Spheres of capital transactions and taxation – The concept of capital and policies revolving around it	15
1.1.4. The concept of capital	16
1.1.5. Capital policy – Disparities ab initio	18
1.2. EU capital transactions regime concretely – Commercial and non-commercial components	23
1.2.1. Commercial components of capital transactions regime – A capital policy framework	24
1.2.2. Non-commercial elements – Elements of international tax law	26
1.2.3. The value of the OECD Model as an external source and benchmark for EU tax policy elements	29
1.2.3.1. Transactions and entities subject to tax	31
1.2.3.2. Discrimination between residents and non-residents	33
1.2.3.3. Avoiding double taxation	37
1.2.4. Recapitulation	39
Chapter 2: EU Capital Transaction Policy – Basic Terminology	41
2.1. The EU concept of capital in general	42
2.1.1. The Directive 88/361 concept of capital movements	44
2.1.1.1. Directive 88/361 definition of direct investments	46
2.1.2. Limits of the Directive 88/361 regime	48
2.1.3. The Directive 88/361 concept of capital and international models	50
2.1.4. The Directive 88/361 assets and liabilities regulation and comparison with the IAS	54
2.1.4.1. The IAS	55

2.1.4.2.	The IAS 32, IAS 39 and the EU definition of assets and liabilities	57
2.1.4.3.	The Directive 88/361 classification in the light of IAS 32	63
2.1.4.3.1.	Heading I of Annex I to Directive 88/361 – Establishment and extension of branches or new undertakings and IAS 27, IAS 28, IFRS 11 and IAS 32	65
2.1.4.3.2.	Heading II of Annex I to Directive 88/361 – Real estate	77
2.1.4.3.3.	Headings III to VI of Annex I to Directive 88/361 – Operations	79
2.1.4.3.4.	Headings IX, XI to XII and Subsection E of Heading XIII of Annex I to Directive 88/361 – A panoply of capital transactions not relevant in terms of assets and liabilities	86
2.1.4.3.5.	Heading XIII of Annex I to Directive 88/361 – Patents and copyrights	88
2.1.4.4.	Directive 88/361 and the IAS combined – Possibilities for a large interpretation	89
2.1.5.	Directive 88/361 and the concept of capital and capital movements internationally – Comparison with the OECD and the WTO systems	92
2.1.5.1.	The OECD and the concept of capital	93
2.1.5.2.	The OECD Code of Liberalisation of Capital Movements and the EU capital transactions regime	94
2.1.5.3.	Transactions liberalized under the OECD Code of Liberalisation of Capital Movements	99
2.1.5.3.1.	Sections I and II of the Annex A to the Code – Direct investments and Directive 88/361	101
2.1.5.3.2.	Section IV of the Annex A to the Code – Operations in securities on capital markets versus Sections V, VI and VII of the Annex A to the Code – Operations	106

2.1.5.3.3.	Sections VIII and IX of the Annex A to the Code – Credits directly linked with international commercial transactions or with the rendering of international services and financial credits and loans	111
2.1.5.3.4.	Section XIV of the Annex A to the Code – Personal capital movements	115
2.1.5.4.	OECD Code of Liberalisation of Current Invisible Operations	118
2.1.5.4.1.	Income from capital	118
2.1.5.4.2.	An interim conclusion	122
2.1.5.5.	The OECD Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investments and the EU direct investments	122
2.1.5.6.	The OECD Model and the EU capital transactions regime	127
2.1.5.7.	The WTO concept of capital and the EU capital circulation	129
2.1.5.7.1.	FDIs and definition of investments	131
2.2.	The EU capital concept – Conclusion	137
2.2.1.	<i>Effet utile</i> of article 63 of the TFEU	139
2.2.2.	Additional thoughts	144
Chapter 3:	The EU Capital Transactions Principles – The European Court of Justice’s Regulatory Premises	147
3.1.	Policy elements detectable in the case law of the European Court of Justice	150
3.1.1.	A systematic approach	152
3.2.	European Court of Justice baseline principles for analysing the EU capital transactions regime	154
3.2.1.	Allocation, scope and exercise of Member States’ taxation jurisdiction	154
3.2.1.1.	Defining tax base and tax rates	156
3.2.1.2.	Classification of taxpayer status	158
3.2.1.3.	Exercise of taxation powers	162
3.2.1.4.	The territoriality principle	165
3.2.1.4.1.	The EU variant of the principle of territoriality	167

Table of Contents

3.2.1.5.	DTCs	169
3.2.1.6.	On source and residence-state obligations	176
3.2.1.6.1.	The choice of taxation jurisdiction scheme	178
3.2.1.6.2.	Allocation at the EU level: Question of nexus	182
3.2.1.7.	Conclusion	185
3.2.2.	Tax neutrality	186
3.2.3.	The guarantee of the EU fundamental freedoms	189
3.2.3.1.	Guarantee of the EU fundamental freedoms in direct taxation cases	190
3.2.3.2.	Non-restriction versus non-discrimination	193
3.2.3.3.	Articles 49 and 63 of the TFEU in the field of corporate taxation – Net capital in terms of the non-discrimination and the non-restriction	196
3.2.3.3.1.	Article 63 of the TFEU and the non-discrimination analysis in direct tax cases	198
3.2.3.3.2.	Article 49 of the TFEU and the non-discrimination analysis in direct tax cases	217
3.2.4.	Guarantee of EU citizenship in light of the fundamental freedoms	228
3.2.5.	Discrimination in taxation	231
3.2.5.1.	The direct-taxation-related concept of discrimination	236
3.2.5.2.	The EU concept of discrimination in taxation contextualized	240
3.2.5.2.1.	The OECD versus the EU concept of discrimination	241
3.2.5.2.2.	Fiscal cohesion in the larger sense – An attempt at equalization at the EU level	262
3.2.5.2.3.	Discrimination – The GATT and the GATS versus the OECD Model versus the EU concept	266
3.2.6.	Comparison of residents and non-residents	273
3.2.7.	Taxation symmetry	283
3.2.8.	Avoiding double taxation	287
3.2.8.1.	Economic double taxation in the case law of the European Court of Justice	291

3.2.8.1.1.	A choice of methods for relief of double taxation	296
3.2.8.1.2.	Directive 90/435, repealed by Directive 2011/96 – An example of a harmonized relief of double taxation	300
3.2.9.	The rule of reason – Another expression of the concept of non-discrimination at the Member State level	307
3.2.9.1.	The argument on grounds of the cohesion of a national tax system	312
3.2.9.2.	The need to safeguard a balanced allocation of the power to impose taxes	318
3.2.9.3.	Fiscal abuse	322
3.2.10.	Cooperation between tax authorities	328
3.3.	Recapitulation – Expressions of the principle of non-discrimination in the direct tax area	331
Chapter 4: Towards a Future (Non-)Harmonization		337
4.1.	The (C)CCTB and EU taxation policy – A state of permanent status quo?	340
4.1.1.	Harmonized and non-harmonized areas – Role of non-discrimination and non-restriction in the CCCTB context	340
4.1.2.	The EU principles of non-discrimination and non-restriction in the future	341
4.2.	A new European tax order – New concepts, new questions	348
4.2.1.	The Commission’s proposition on the CCCTB formula apportionment and its possible developments	354
4.2.2.	CCCTB Anti-abuse rules	363
4.2.3.	Future coordination and the CCCTB	367
4.3.	The BEPS	369
4.3.1.	Concrete BEPS actions	371
4.3.2.	The BEPS and the EU-level fight against tax abuse	374
4.3.2.1.	Tax avoidance and tax abuse in the case law of the European Court of Justice	375
4.3.2.1.1.	Jurisdiction to adopt anti-tax-abuse measures	375
4.3.2.2.	PSD amendments and question of the BEPS Action 2 compatibility with EU law	382

Table of Contents

4.3.3.	Possibilities opened by the BEPS	382
4.3.4.	Interpretative value of potential future BEPS regulation	383
4.3.4.1.	EU Member States' tax jurisdiction: A true status quo	385
4.3.4.2.	Non-discrimination and non-restriction – Advancing the <i>effet utile</i> in absolute terms	389
4.3.4.2.1.	Extension of the cohesion in the larger sense – Article 63 of the TFEU	394
4.3.4.3.	Anti-abuse – Approximation among BEPS-adopting Member States?	395
4.3.4.3.1.	The BEPS and the EU concept of anti-tax abuse	395
4.3.4.3.2.	The BEPS as a gap filler	397
4.3.4.3.3.	The BEPS and the proposed EU anti-avoidance regulation	398
4.3.4.3.4.	The BEPS methodology and the European Court of Justice's concepts of comparability and the rule of reason – An opportunity for clarifications of concepts	402
Chapter 5:	Conclusion: From Transaction-Based Origins to a More Asset-Based Capital Policy – Implementing Effective Value of Capital Movements and the EU Fundamental Freedoms	411
5.1.	Four elements of change	413
5.2.	A proposed shift in policy and role of the European Court of Justice	419
References		423