

# **Non-Discrimination in Tax Treaties: Selected Issues from a Global Perspective**

edited by  
Dennis Weber and Pasquale Pistone



Vol. 14  
EC and International Tax Law Series

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Foreword</b>		v
<b>Preface</b>		vii
<b>Chapter 1:</b>	<b>Nationality Non-Discrimination and Article 24 OECD Model: Perennial Issues, Recent Trends and New Approaches</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Werner Haslehner</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.2.	Non-discrimination: Rules and principles of interpretation	2
1.2.1.	Purpose and shortcomings of article 24 OECD Model	2
1.2.2.	“Clarifications” of the scope of article 24 in the 2008 OECD Update	5
1.2.2.1.	Indirect discrimination	5
1.2.2.2.	Most-favoured-nation treatment	7
1.2.2.3.	Comparability	9
1.2.2.4.	Relationship to the rest of the convention	10
1.3.	Nationality non-discrimination prohibited by article 24(1) OECD Model	12
1.3.1.	Introduction	12
1.3.2.	Application of article 24(1) to companies	12
1.3.2.1.	Nationality of companies	12
1.3.2.2.	The comparability test for companies	14
1.3.2.3.	Interlinked criteria and “disguised discrimination”	18
1.3.3.	Combined application of non-discrimination provisions	20
1.3.3.1.	Cumulative application of article 24(1) and other paragraphs	20
1.3.3.2.	Combination of article 24(1) and the EU freedoms	23
1.4.	Conclusion	25

<b>Chapter 2:</b>	<b>Non-Discrimination on the Basis of Nationality in IIAs: A Latin American Tax Perspective</b>	27
	<i>Catalina Hoyos Jiménez</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	27
2.2.	Non-discrimination on the basis of nationality in Latin American countries: Some cases related to article 24(1) OECD Model	29
2.3.	Non-discrimination under Andean Community law and other domestic courts	32
2.4.	Non-discrimination in BITs and FTAs	34
2.5.	Conclusions	37
<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>Interest Deduction Limitations: When To Apply Articles 9 and 24(4) of the OECD Model?</b>	39
	<i>Otto Marres</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	39
3.2.	The arm's length standard	40
3.2.1.	Article 9 of the OECD Model	40
3.2.1.1.	Illustrative or restrictive?	40
3.2.1.2.	Corresponding adjustments	41
3.2.1.3.	Scope	42
3.2.1.4.	The meaning of the term "conditions"; transactional adjustments	43
3.2.2.	Article 9 and thin capitalization (and other interest deduction restrictions)	44
3.2.2.1.	Thin capitalization as "non-arm's length conditions"	44
3.2.2.2.	OECD (Thin Cap Report; Commentary)	46
3.2.2.3.	EU Arbitration Convention (90/436) and thin capitalization	47
3.2.2.4.	Case law	47
3.2.3.	Articles 11(6) and 12(4) of the OECD Model	51
3.3.	Non-discrimination; article 24(4) OECD Model	53
3.3.1.	Article 24 of the OECD Model and its relevance for interest deduction limitations	53
3.3.2.	Article 24(4) and the carve-out for transfer pricing adjustments	53
3.3.2.1.	Article 24(4) versus article 9 of the OECD Model	53
3.3.2.2.	Ratio carve-out for transfer pricing adjustments	54
3.3.2.3.	Scope of the carve-out	55

3.3.3.	Article 24(5)	57
3.4.	The EU fundamental freedoms	59
3.4.1.	Transfer pricing adjustments and thin cap rules as conflicting with free movement	59
3.4.2.	The <i>Lankhorst-Hohorst</i> case	60
3.4.3.	The <i>Thin Cap GLO</i> case	60
3.4.4.	The <i>SGI</i> case	62
3.4.5.	The <i>Itelcar</i> Case	65
3.4.6.	Observations regarding ECJ case law	66
3.5.	Observations and conclusions	68
<b>Chapter 4:</b>	<b>Revisiting the Application of the Capital Ownership Non-Discrimination Provision in Tax Treaties</b>	<b>71</b>
	<i>Bruno da Silva</i>	
4.1.	Introduction	71
4.2.	Analysis of article 24(5)	72
4.2.1.	Purpose of the capital ownership provision	72
4.2.2.	Elements of discriminatory treatment	74
4.2.2.1.	Subject of discrimination: Enterprises of a contracting state	74
4.2.2.2.	Reason for discrimination: Direct or indirect, full or partial, foreign ownership or control	75
4.2.2.3.	Discriminatory treatment: Other or more burdensome taxation and connected requirements	75
4.2.2.4.	The object of comparison: Other similar enterprises	77
4.3.	Revisiting the application of the capital ownership provision	82
4.3.1.	Group taxation	82
4.3.1.1.	Group taxation: Some concrete examples	90
4.3.1.2.	Application of the capital ownership provision to (other) group taxation regimes	98
4.3.1.3.	Per element or overall approach	102
4.3.1.4.	Examples of per-element approach	108
4.3.2.	Dividend distributions	109
4.3.3.	Interest deduction limitations	111
4.4.	Interaction of non-discrimination provisions	113
4.4.1.	The issue	113
4.4.2.	Application of tax treaty provisions	115
4.4.2.1.	Alternative application	115
4.4.2.2.	Cumulative application in the same tax treaty	119

4.4.2.3.	Cumulative application in different tax treaties	122
4.5.	Conclusions	131
<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>Non-Discrimination in Tax Treaties – Art. 24(4) and (5) OECD MC: A Russian Approach to Tax Treaty Interpretation</b>	<b>133</b>
	<i>Danil V. Vinnitskiy</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	133
5.2.	<i>Severnii Kuzbass v. Russian FTS</i> , case 8654/11 (15 Nov. 2011)	139
5.2.1.	Facts of the case	139
5.2.2.	The SCC's decision	142
5.2.3.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	144
5.2.3.1.	Thin capitalization rules and the arm's length standard	145
5.2.3.2.	Criteria of comparability of tax treatment and a non-discrimination clause	146
5.2.3.3.	General anti-avoidance provision	148
5.3.	<i>NaryanmarNefteGaz v. Russian FTS</i> , case 7104/12 (21 June 2012)	149
5.3.1.	Facts of the case	150
5.3.2.	The courts' decisions	151
5.3.3.	Comments on the courts' reasoning	154
5.3.3.1.	The relevance of the OECD Commentary on the Model Convention	155
5.3.3.2.	Application of the domestic anti-avoidance measures in order to deny the benefits provided by a tax treaty	158
5.3.3.3.	Limits of the application of non-discrimination clause and thin capitalization rules	159
5.4.	<i>United-Bakers Pskov LLC/Kellogg Group v. Russian FTS</i> , case A52-4072/2012 (18 Sept. 2013)	160
5.4.1.	Facts of the case	160
5.4.2.	The court's decision	163
5.4.3.	Comments on the court's reasoning	164
5.5.	Conclusion	165

<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>Non-Discrimination and Harmful Tax Competition under WTO Law and Article 24 of the OECD Model</b>	167
	<i>Kasper Dziurdz</i>	
6.1.	Introduction	167
6.2.	“Likeness” under WTO law and the importance of policy objectives	170
6.2.1.	Different meanings of “likeness” in different agreements and contexts	170
6.2.2.	Regulatory purposes may influence “likeness” and “less favourable treatment”	178
6.3.	Combating tax havens: Illegitimate discrimination under WTO law?	186
6.3.1.	Application of the GATT and GATS non-discrimination rules to direct taxes	186
6.3.2.	<i>Argentina – Financial Services</i> and the justifiability of measures against tax havens	192
6.3.3.	Tax transparency and low taxation: Influence on “likeness”?	198
6.3.4.	Tax transparency and low taxation: Influence on “less favourable treatment”?	203
6.4.	Inspirations for article 24 of the OECD Model	208
6.4.1.	Issues with non-deductibility rules countering hybrid mismatch arrangements	208
6.4.2.	Limiting or extending discrimination: Some further thoughts on comparability	213
6.5.	Concluding remarks	222
<b>Chapter 7:</b>	<b>Non-Discrimination: Can the EU Learn from the OECD Model Convention and Vice Versa?</b>	225
	<i>Frans Vanistendael</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	225
7.2.	ECJ model of discrimination analysis	226
7.2.1.	Historical origin of non-discrimination principle	226
7.2.2.	Extensions of the non-discrimination principle in ECJ case law	227
7.2.3.	Rule of reason as justification for restrictive measures	228
7.2.4.	A model for decision-making in discrimination cases	229

## Table of Contents

---

7.2.5.	Comparability in the ECJ model of analysis	231
7.2.5.1.	Nationality vs indirect criteria like residence	231
7.2.5.2.	Comparability of legal entities	231
7.2.5.3.	Comparability of individuals	232
7.2.5.4.	No horizontal comparison	233
7.2.6.	Disadvantage in the ECJ model of analysis	234
7.2.7.	Justifications for restrictive measures	235
7.2.7.1.	Policy grounds in the treaty and under the rule of reason	235
7.2.7.2.	Cohesion or coherence	236
7.2.7.3.	Fiscal supervision and tax avoidance	237
7.2.7.4.	Territoriality	238
7.2.7.5.	Balanced allocation of taxing powers	238
7.2.8.	Proportionality	239
7.3.	Non-discrimination under article 24 OECD Model Convention	240
7.3.1.	The structure of the OECD non-discrimination rules	240
7.3.1.1.	No overall doctrine but prohibition of specific forms of discrimination	240
7.3.1.2.	Non-discrimination on the basis of nationality	241
7.3.1.3.	Specific forms of discrimination of non-residents	241
7.3.2.	Discrimination based on nationality	242
7.3.2.1.	The difference between nationality and residence	242
7.3.2.2.	No covert or indirect discrimination based on residence	243
7.3.2.3.	No reverse or horizontal discrimination	243
7.3.2.4.	Stateless persons	243
7.3.3.	Discrimination of PEs	244
7.3.3.1.	No discrimination between resident and non-resident enterprises	244
7.3.3.2.	Differences in comparability	244
7.3.3.3.	No equal treatment in personal and family allowances	244
7.3.3.4.	Non-discrimination restricted to business profits of PEs	245
7.3.3.5.	Exit taxes	245
7.3.3.6.	Justification for different treatment	246
7.3.3.6.1.	No extensive doctrine on justification	246
7.3.3.6.2.	Priority for the arm's length principle	247
7.3.3.6.3.	Derogation for practical reasons of administrative implementation	247

7.3.3.6.4.	Derogation for activities of national interest	248
7.3.3.6.5.	Derogation for non-profit organizations	248
7.3.3.7.	No access to treaty protection for non-residents	249
7.3.4.	Deductibility of expenses	250
7.3.5.	Discrimination because of foreign ownership	251
7.4.	Concluding remarks	252
7.4.1.	Similarities in the non-discrimination concept	252
7.4.2.	Dissimilarities	252
7.4.3.	Is there room for convergence?	253
<b>Chapter 8:</b>	<b>Non-Discrimination à la Cour: The ECJ's (Lack of) Comparability Analysis in Direct Tax Cases</b>	<b>255</b>
	<i>Peter Wattel</i>	
8.1.	Overview	255
8.2.	Taxation and free movement: Discrimination- or obstacle-based analysis? One-country problem or two-country problem?	256
8.3.	The Court's two-step comparability analysis in tax matters: Prima facie and "objective" comparability	257
8.4.	Examples of objective incomparability according to the Court	261
8.5.	No objective incomparability, but the same result via justification: Mixing up (in)comparability and justification	270
8.6.	Discriminations created by the Court	274
8.7.	Discriminations perceived where there were none	278
8.8.	No comparable domestic situation	280
8.9.	Conclusion	281
<b>Chapter 9:</b>	<b>Discriminatory Taxation and the European Convention on Human Rights</b>	<b>283</b>
	<i>Robert Attard</i>	
9.1.	A word on article 14 ECHR	283
9.2.	In "the enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set forth in this Convention"	283
9.3.	"Without discrimination on any ground"	285
9.4.	"Such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, etc."	286

## Table of Contents

---

9.5.	“Or other status”	294
9.6.	Conclusions	298
9.6.1.	Does the ECHR allow discriminatory taxation?	298
9.6.2.	When is a tax law discriminatory?	298
9.6.3.	Is the ECHR an effective shield against discriminatory taxation?	299
<b>Contributors</b>		<b>301</b>