

# **New Trends in the Definition of Permanent Establishment**

edited by  
Prof. Guglielmo Maisto



Vol. 17  
EC and International Tax Law Series

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>		v
<b>Foreword</b>		vii
<b>Part One</b>		
Overview of Article 5 OECD Model		
<b>Chapter 1:</b>	<b>The History of the Permanent Establishment Concept: Two Questions</b>	3
	<i>by Jacques Sasseville</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	3
1.2.	Was there an overriding “preparatory and auxiliary” condition underlying article 5(4) of the pre-2017 OECD and UN models?	3
1.3.	What was wrong with the independent agent exception that was included in the 2001 UN Model?	19
1.4.	Conclusion	29
<b>Chapter 2:</b>	<b>Article 5 of the OECD Model: Recent Amendments and Upcoming Challenges</b>	31
	<i>by Sophie Chatel and Lee Harley</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	31
2.2.	Recent changes to the article 5 PE definition	31
2.2.1.	Dependent agent PE	32
2.2.2.	Specific activity exemptions	35
2.2.3.	Contract splitting	36
2.3.	Implementation via the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (MLI)	37

2.4.	Challenges posed by the digitalization of the economy	37
2.4.1.	The “user participation” proposal	39
2.4.2.	The “marketing intangibles” proposal	40
2.4.3.	The “significant economic presence” proposal	40
2.4.4.	Conclusion	40

Part Two

Open Issues under Pre- and Post-BEPS OECD Model: Physical PE

<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>Permanent Establishment: Geographic and Temporal Issues</b>	45
	<i>by Jonathan Schwarz</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	45
3.2.	Physical PE	45
3.2.1.	Distinct geographic location	47
3.2.2.	Degree of permanence	49
3.2.3.	Temporal requirement solutions	53
3.3.	Agency PE	54
3.3.1.	Geographic location	55
3.3.2.	Temporal requirement	55
3.4.	UN Model on services	56
3.4.1.	Geographic location	56
3.4.2.	Temporal requirement	56
3.5.	UN Model on insurance enterprises	57
<b>Chapter 4:</b>	<b>Problems of Interpretation of Article 5(3) OECD Model</b>	59
	<i>by Adolfo Martín Jiménez</i>	
4.1.	Introduction	59
4.2.	Some historical data of relevance to interpret article 5(3) OECD Model: Exception vs deeming rule and the importance of the geographical and commercial coherence tests for construction PEs	61

---

4.2.1.	The PE concept applies per fixed place and to a stream of income and not per taxpayer	61
4.2.2.	When the PE concept reduced its fixity but not the connection with a stream of income: The PE for construction works	62
4.2.3.	Vagueness and asymmetries in the interpretation of article 5(3) OECD Model: A historical perspective	65
4.2.4.	Article 5(3) OECD Model: A first conclusion	67
4.3.	A different model: The UN version of the construction PE and its diminished importance after 2017	67
4.4.	The BEPS effect and its aftermath: The splitting up of contracts to avoid the time threshold of article 5(3) OECD Model	69
4.5.	The objective scope of article 5(3) OECD Model	72
4.5.1.	Introduction	72
4.5.2.	What is “building, construction or installation”?	72
4.5.3.	On-site planning and supervision	75
4.6.	The subjective scope of article 5(3) OECD Model	79
4.6.1.	Introduction	79
4.6.2.	Contractors and subcontractors	79
4.6.3.	Partnerships and joint ventures	81
4.6.4.	Final note	82
4.7.	Computation of the 12-month time threshold	83
4.7.1.	Introduction	83
4.7.2.	Initial date	83
4.7.3.	Final date	84
4.7.4.	Temporal interruptions	85
4.8.	Attribution of profits and article 5(3) OECD Model	86
4.9.	Conclusion	87
4.10.	Bibliographical note	88

<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>PE Negative List and Fragmentation of Activities Within Groups of Companies</b>	<b>91</b>
	<i>by Paolo Arginelli</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	91
5.2.	The negative list	92
5.2.1.	History and original purpose	92
5.2.1.1.	The League of Nations' Mexico (1943) and London (1946) Draft Models	92
5.2.1.2.	The original proposal made by WP1 of the OEEC Fiscal Committee	93
5.2.1.3.	Subsequent amendments of the PE negative list through the 1963 OECD Draft Model	95
5.2.1.4.	From the 1963 OECD Draft Model to the 2014 OECD Model	97
5.2.1.5.	What the history of the provision teaches us	98
5.2.2.	Are the activities listed in article 5(3) of the 1963 OECD Draft Model and in article 5(4) of the 1977-2014 OECD Model to be regarded as a priori PE exclusions?	99
5.2.2.1.	The activities expressly listed in article 5 of the OECD Model should be regarded as a priori PE exclusions	99
5.2.2.1.1.	The text of article 5 of the OECD Model (1963-2014)	99
5.2.2.1.2.	The Commentaries on Article 5 of the OECD Model (1963-2014)	101
5.2.2.1.3.	Other relevant materials	104
5.2.2.1.4.	Countries' practice	105
5.2.2.1.5.	Interim conclusions	107
5.2.2.2.	The activities listed in article 5 of the OECD Model must have, in concreto, a preparatory or auxiliary character	108
5.2.2.2.1.	Materials supporting this interpretation	108
5.2.2.2.2.	Countries' practice	111
5.2.2.2.3.	Interim conclusions	112
5.2.3.	Changes brought about by the BEPS Project	113
5.2.3.1.	The purpose of the BEPS Project in respect of the PE negative list	113
5.2.3.2.	Amendments to the 2017 OECD Model	114
5.2.3.3.	The MLI and the PE negative list	116

5.3.	The concept of “preparatory or auxiliary” activity	117
5.4.	Fragmented activities and PE avoidance	122
5.4.1.	The pre-BEPS scenario	122
5.4.1.1.	OECD Model and Commentaries	122
5.4.1.2.	Countries’ practice	126
5.4.2.	The effects of the BEPS Project	127
5.4.2.1.	New article 5(4.1) of the 2017 OECD Model	127
5.4.2.2.	The MLI and fragmentation of the activities	131
5.5.	Conclusions	132

### Part Three

#### Open Issues under Pre- and Post-BEPS OECD Model: Agency PE

<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>Concluding Contracts and Playing the Principal Role in Concluding Contracts</b> <i>by Peter H. Blessing</i>	139
6.1.	Introduction	139
6.2.	Relevant MLI language and changes from pre-2017 Model	140
6.3.	Traditional concepts woven with revised standards – Textual observations	141
6.3.1.	Economically binding contract is sufficient (anti-commissionaire revision)	141
6.3.2.	Principal role leading to the conclusion of contracts	142
6.4.	Habitually	143
6.5.	Conclusion of contracts	143
6.6.	Habitually plays the principal role leading to the conclusion of contracts	145
6.7.	Prior Commentary pointed to the Principal Role Clause	146

## Table of Contents

---

6.8.	The principal role	147
6.9.	Routinely concluded without material modification	150
6.10.	Promotion/marketing activities	150
6.11.	Procurement	152
6.12.	Examples of local economic presence not affected by the 2017 DAPE changes	153
6.13.	Restructuring of distribution model structures to LRD or to master distributor/blocker	155
6.14.	How are companies reacting?	156
6.15.	Final comments	157
<b>Chapter 7:</b>	<b>Agent PE: The “in the name of” Concept</b> <i>by Stephane Austray</i>	159
7.1.	Introduction	159
7.2.	The “in the name of” concept before the MLI	159
7.2.1.	France – <i>Société Zimmer Ltd</i> case	160
7.2.2.	France – <i>Valueclick</i> case	162
7.2.3.	Norway – <i>Dell Products</i> case	162
7.2.4.	Italy – <i>Philip Morris</i> case	163
7.2.5.	Italy – <i>Boston Scientific</i> case	163
7.2.6.	Spain – <i>Roche Vitamins Europe</i> case	164
7.2.7.	Spain – <i>Dell Products</i> case	164
7.3.	The impact of the MLI on the “in the name of” concept	165
7.4.	Final remarks	168

---

<b>Chapter 8:</b>	<b>The Independent Agent Exception</b>	169
	<i>by Bruno da Silva</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	169
8.2.	Background	169
8.3.	The rationale for the independent agent exception: Historical evolution	171
8.4.	The new article 5(6)	173
8.4.1.	An entirely new provision	173
8.4.2.	Deletion of broker, general commission agent or any other agent of an independent status	174
8.4.3.	Inclusion of “paragraph 5 shall not apply”	175
8.5.	The independence of the agent	178
8.5.1.	Independence status test	178
8.5.2.	Acting in the ordinary course of business	181
8.6.	The exclusion of the independent agent exception: A new second sentence of paragraph 6	184
8.6.1.	Origins	184
8.6.2.	Meaning of acting exclusively or almost exclusively on behalf of one or more enterprises to which it is closely related	188
8.7.	Implementation of the new article 5(6)	193
8.8.	Conclusions	195

Part Four  
Need to Expand the PE Concept?

<b>Chapter 9:</b>	<b>Permanent Establishment and the Digital Economy</b> <i>by Pasquale Pistone</i>	199
9.1.	Introduction	199
9.2.	Adjusting the PE concept to the challenges of the digital economy	201
9.3.	Implementing the change in the existing tax treaty network	203
9.4.	The virtual PE and the object and purpose of the BEPS MLI	206
9.5.	Introducing the virtual PE concept through an amendment to the BEPS MLI	209
9.6.	The virtual PE and relations between the BEPS MLI and EU law	214
9.6.1.	The 2018 proposed EU directive and the intra-EU issues	214
9.6.2.	The 2018 proposed EU directive and the impact on relations with third countries	219
9.6.3.	Amending EU law or the BEPS MLI first?	222
9.7.	Conclusions	223

Part Five  
Country Reports

<b>Chapter 10:</b>	<b>Australia</b> <i>by Sunita Jogarajan and Michael Kobetsky</i>	229
10.1.	Introduction	229
10.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	229

10.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	231
10.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	233
10.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	234
10.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	235
10.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	235
10.2.1.1.	In general	235
10.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	237
10.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	239
10.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	240
10.2.3.	Construction PE	243
10.2.3.1.	Time threshold	243
10.2.3.2.	Supervisory or consultancy activities: Building site, construction or installation project	244
10.2.3.3.	Assembly projects	245
10.2.3.4.	Definition of “building site” and “construction or installation project”	245
10.2.3.5.	Deemed construction PEs	247
10.2.3.6.	Calculation of the time period	248
10.2.3.7.	Subcontractors	248
10.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	249
10.2.5.	Agency PE	251
10.2.5.1.	Departures from article 5(5) deemed dependent agent PEs	254
10.2.5.2.	Departures from article 5(6) independent agent or broker	257
10.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	258
10.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	259
10.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	259
10.2.8.1.	Substantial equipment PE	259
10.2.8.2.	Natural resources and offshore activities PE	262
10.2.8.3.	Services PE	263
10.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	263

10.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	263
10.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	264
10.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting-up of contracts	265
10.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	265
10.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	266
10.4.1.	Multinational Anti-Avoidance Law	266
10.4.2.	Diverted profits tax	267
10.4.3.	Australia's proposal to tax the digital economy using an excise	269
<b>Chapter 11:</b>	<b>Belgium</b>	271
	<i>by Sam Gommers</i>	
11.1.	Introduction	271
11.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	271
11.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	275
11.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	277
11.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	278
11.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	278
11.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	278
11.2.1.1.	In general	278
11.2.1.2.	The "at the disposal" requirement	283
11.2.1.3.	The "fixity" and "permanency" requirements	285
11.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	288
11.2.3.	Construction PE	290
11.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	297
11.2.5.	Agency PE	299
11.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	303

11.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	304
11.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	305
11.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	309
11.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	309
11.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	311
11.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	312
11.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	313
11.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	313
<b>Chapter 12:</b>	<b>Brazil</b>	<b>315</b>
	<i>by Leonardo F. de Moraes e Castro</i>	
12.1.	Introduction	315
12.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	316
12.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	321
12.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	324
12.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	324
12.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	325
12.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	325
12.2.1.1.	In general	326
12.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	328
12.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	328
12.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	332
12.2.3.	Construction PE	332
12.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	333
12.2.5.	Agency PE	333
12.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	341

12.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	341
12.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	341
12.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	343
12.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	347
12.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	347
12.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	347
12.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	348
12.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	348
<b>Chapter 13:</b>	<b>China (People’s Rep.)</b>	<b>355</b>
	<i>Na Li</i>	
13.1.	Introduction	355
13.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	358
13.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	358
13.2.1.1.	In general	358
13.2.1.2.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	359
13.2.1.3.	The “at the disposal” requirement	360
13.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	361
13.2.3.	Construction PE	361
13.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities	364
13.2.4.1.	Anti-fragmentation rule	365
13.2.5.	Agency PE	366
13.2.5.1.	Dependent agency	366
13.2.5.2.	Independent agency	367
13.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	369
13.2.6.1.	Subsidiary/parents	369
13.2.6.2.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	370
13.2.7.	Other specific types of PE	372
13.2.7.1.	Service PE	372
13.2.7.2.	Insurance PE	374

13.3.	PE and the MLI	374
13.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	375
<b>Chapter 14:</b>	<b>France</b>	<b>377</b>
	<i>by Chloé Delion</i>	
14.1.	Introduction	377
14.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	377
14.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	379
14.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	381
14.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	382
14.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	383
14.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	383
14.2.1.1.	In general	383
14.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	388
14.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	391
14.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	393
14.2.3.	Construction PE	395
14.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	401
14.2.5.	Agency PE	405
14.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	413
14.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	415
14.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	416
14.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	419
14.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	419
14.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	420
14.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	421

14.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	422
14.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	423
<b>Chapter 15:</b>	<b>Germany</b>	427
	<i>by Christian Jung</i>	
15.1.	Introduction	427
15.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	428
15.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	430
15.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	432
15.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	432
15.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	434
15.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	434
15.2.1.1.	In general	434
15.2.1.2.	Business of an enterprise	435
15.2.1.3.	The “at the disposal” requirement	437
15.2.1.3.1.	Case law regarding PEs in a client’s facility	439
15.2.1.3.2.	Case law regarding PEs in offices of subcontractors or within the same group	441
15.2.1.4.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	443
15.2.1.4.1.	The fixity requirement	443
15.2.1.4.2.	The permanency requirement	445
15.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	448
15.2.3.	Construction PEs	450
15.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	455
15.2.5.	Agency PE	457
15.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	463
15.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	464
15.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	465

15.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	466
15.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	466
15.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	467
15.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	467
15.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	467
15.3.5.	Recent treaty practice	468
15.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	468
<b>Chapter 16:</b>	<b>India</b>	471
	<i>by D.P. Sengupta</i>	
16.1.	Introduction	471
16.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	471
16.1.1.1.	BC concept and PE concept: Similarities and differences	473
16.1.1.2.	Burden of proof	474
16.1.1.3.	Revenue guidelines in relation to BC or PE	475
16.1.1.4.	No revenue ruling but advance ruling	475
16.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from OECD Model	476
16.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	477
16.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	478
16.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	479
16.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	479
16.2.1.1.	In general	479
16.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	482
16.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	486
16.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	493
16.2.3.	Construction PE	494
16.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	500
16.2.5.	Agency PE	503

16.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	509
16.2.7.	Group companies and closely related enterprises	511
16.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	514
16.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	515
16.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements or similar strategies	515
16.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through specific activity exemptions	518
16.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	520
16.3.4.	Article 15: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	521
16.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	522
16.4.1.	Amendment of the domestic law to introduce the SEP	522
16.4.2.	New indirect tax measures	523
<b>Chapter 17:</b>	<b>Italy</b>	<b>527</b>
	<i>by Teresa Galluccio</i>	
17.1.	Introduction	527
17.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	527
17.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	533
17.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	535
17.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	536
17.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	539
17.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	539
17.2.1.1.	In general	539
17.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	542
17.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	544
17.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	547
17.2.3.	Construction PE	552

17.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	556
17.2.5.	Agency PE	562
17.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	570
17.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	573
17.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	574
17.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	575
17.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	575
17.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	576
17.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	577
17.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	578
17.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	578
<b>Chapter 18:</b>	<b>Luxembourg</b>	<b>585</b>
	<i>by Julia Sinnig</i>	
18.1.	Introduction	585
18.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	589
18.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	590
18.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	592
18.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	593
18.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	594
18.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	594
18.2.1.1.	In general	598
18.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	600
18.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	601
18.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	604
18.2.3.	Construction PE	605

## Table of Contents

---

18.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	608
18.2.5.	Agency PE	609
18.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	612
18.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	613
18.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	613
18.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	614
18.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	615
18.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	616
18.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	617
18.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	618
18.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	618
<b>Chapter 19:</b>	<b>Netherlands</b>	<b>621</b>
	<i>by Maarten F. de Wilde and Ciska Wisman</i>	
19.1.	Introduction	621
19.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	621
19.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	632
19.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	634
19.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	637
19.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	638
19.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	638
19.2.1.1.	In general	638
19.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	647
19.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	648
19.2.2.	List of fixed places of business	651
19.2.3.	Construction PE	656

19.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	660
19.2.5.	Agency PE	663
19.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	666
19.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	667
19.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	669
19.2.8.1.	General remarks	669
19.2.8.2.	Service PE	670
19.2.8.3.	Insurance PE	671
19.2.8.4.	Substantial equipment PE	671
19.2.8.5.	Offshore PE	672
19.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	675
19.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	675
19.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	678
19.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	680
19.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	683
19.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	685
<b>Chapter 20:</b>	<b>Norway</b>	<b>691</b>
	<i>by Eivind Furuseth</i>	
20.1.	Introduction	691
20.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	691
20.1.1.1.	In general	691
20.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	692
20.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservation made/options taken	693
20.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	693
20.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	695

20.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	695
20.2.1.1.	In general	695
20.2.1.1.1.	Domestic law	695
20.2.1.1.1.1.	Business activities	696
20.2.1.1.1.2.	Perform or participate in business	698
20.2.1.1.1.3.	The business must be carried out or managed from Norway	698
20.2.1.1.2.	Tax treaty	699
20.2.1.1.2.1.	The “at disposal” requirement	701
20.2.1.1.2.2.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	703
20.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	708
20.2.3.	Construction PE	709
20.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	714
20.2.5.	Agency PE	715
20.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	718
20.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	719
20.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	719
20.3.	PE and the MLI	720
20.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	720
20.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemption	720
20.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	721
20.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	721
20.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	722
<b>Chapter 21:</b>	<b>South Africa</b>	723
	<i>by Johann Hattingh and Michiel Eksteen</i>	
21.1.	Introduction	723
21.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	723
21.1.2.	Tax treaty practices and departures from the OECD Model	726
21.1.2.1.	OECD Model position and national/regional models	727

21.1.3.	The MLI and reservations made/options chosen	732
21.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	733
21.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	735
21.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	735
21.2.1.1.	In general	735
21.2.1.1.1.	South Africa's tax treaty practice with regard to article 5(1) of the OECD Model	735
21.2.1.1.2.	South Africa's domestic tax law with regard to article 5(1) of the OECD Model	737
21.2.1.1.3.	Interaction between domestic law and treaty reference to "enterprise"	743
21.2.1.1.4.	Human presence	746
21.2.1.2.	The "at the disposal" requirement	748
21.2.1.3.	The "fixity" and "permanency" requirements	751
21.2.1.3.1.	Anti-avoidance and the splitting up of contracts	755
21.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	756
21.2.3.	Construction PE	760
21.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities	763
21.2.5.	Agency PE	764
21.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	766
21.2.7.	Closely related enterprises	766
21.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	767
21.2.8.1.	Service PE	767
21.2.8.1.1.	Corporate services	767
21.2.8.1.2.	Independent professional service PE	768
21.2.8.2.	Insurance PE	769
21.2.8.3.	Extractive industry	769
21.2.8.4.	Other deviations	770
21.3.	PE and the MLI	771
21.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	771
21.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	772
21.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	772
21.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	773

## Table of Contents

---

21.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	773
21.4.1.	VAT reforms	773
21.4.2.	Policy discussions about direct tax reform	775
<b>Chapter 22:</b>	<b>Spain</b>	<b>795</b>
	<i>by Domingo Jesús Jiménez-Valladolid</i>	
	<i>de L'Hotellerie-Fallois</i>	
22.1.	Introduction	795
22.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	796
22.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	800
22.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	801
22.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	801
22.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	801
22.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	802
22.2.1.1.	In general	802
22.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	806
22.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	809
22.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	810
22.2.3.	Construction PE	815
22.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	824
22.2.5.	Agency PE	829
22.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	834
22.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	835
22.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	836
22.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	837
22.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	839
22.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	843
22.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	845

22.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	846
22.3.5.	Relevance of the MLI on recent – and recently renegotiated – tax treaties	847
22.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	847
<b>Chapter 23:</b>	<b>Sweden</b>	851
	<i>by Linus Jacobsson</i>	
23.1.	Introduction	851
23.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	851
23.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	854
23.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	856
23.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	857
23.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	858
23.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	858
23.2.1.1.	In general	858
23.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	859
23.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	865
23.2.2.	List of fixed places of business	868
23.2.3.	Construction PE	869
23.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	871
23.2.5.	Agency PE	873
23.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	875
23.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	877
23.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	877
23.3.	PEs and the MLI	878
23.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	878

<b>Chapter 24:</b>	<b>Switzerland</b>	881
	<i>by Lisa Spinosa and Raphaëlle Gerno</i>	
24.1.	Introduction	881
24.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	881
24.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	886
24.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	889
24.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	890
24.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	892
24.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	892
24.2.1.1.	In general	892
24.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	899
24.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	901
24.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	902
24.2.3.	Construction PE	903
24.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	906
24.2.5.	Agency PE	907
24.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	911
24.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	911
24.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	912
24.3.	PE and the MLI	913
24.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	913
24.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	915
24.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	916
24.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	917
24.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	917

<b>Chapter 25:</b>	<b>United Kingdom</b>	921
	<i>by Mathew Oliver</i>	
25.1.	Introduction	921
25.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	921
25.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	929
25.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	930
25.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	930
25.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	931
25.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	931
25.2.1.1.	In general	931
25.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	935
25.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	937
25.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	939
25.2.3.	Construction PE	940
25.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	945
25.2.5.	Agency PE	946
25.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	950
25.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	951
25.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	952
25.3.	PE and the MLI	955
25.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	955
25.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	956
25.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up contracts	957
25.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	957
25.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	958
25.4.1.	DPT	958

## Table of Contents

---

25.4.2.	HM Treasury paper on corporate tax and the digital economy	964
25.4.3.	Withholding tax proposal	966
<b>Chapter 26:</b>	<b>United States</b> <i>by Yariv Brauner</i>	969
26.1.	Introduction	969
26.1.1.	Domestic PE concept and departures from the OECD Model	970
26.1.2.	Tax treaty practice and departures from the OECD Model	971
26.1.3.	Signing of the MLI and reservations made/options chosen	972
26.1.4.	Ongoing development of the PE concept under domestic and treaty law	972
26.2.	PE definition and its interpretation under tax treaties and domestic law	973
26.2.1.	Fixed place of business PE	973
26.2.1.1.	In general	973
26.2.1.2.	The “at the disposal” requirement	974
26.2.1.3.	The “fixity” and “permanency” requirements	974
26.2.2.	List of fixed places of business PE	974
26.2.3.	Construction PE	974
26.2.4.	Preparatory and auxiliary activities and anti-fragmentation rule	975
26.2.5.	Agency PE	976
26.2.6.	Subsidiary companies as PE of the parents (and vice versa)	976
26.2.7.	Group of companies and closely related enterprises	977
26.2.8.	Other specific types of PE	977
26.3.	PE and the Multilateral Instrument	977
26.3.1.	Article 12 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies	977
26.3.2.	Article 13 MLI: Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions	977
26.3.3.	Article 14 MLI: Splitting up of contracts	978

26.3.4.	Article 15 MLI: Definition of a person closely related to an enterprise	978
26.4.	Taxation of the digital economy	978
<b>Contributors</b>		979